

2011



---

*DISTRICTS*

---


*NEIGHBORHOODS*

---

*EQUALITY*

---

**REDISTRICTING  
COMMISSION  
FINAL REPORT  
June 22, 2011**



# **City of College Park**

June 22, 2011



## **College Park Mayor and Council**

*Mayor Andrew M. Fellows*

*Christine Nagle, District 1*

*Patrick L. Wojahn, District 1*

*Robert T. Catlin, District 2*

*John E. Perry, District 2*

*Mark Cook, District 3*

*Stephanie E. Stulich, District 3*

*Marcus Afzali, District 4*

*Denise C. Mitchell, District 4*

*City of College Park, 4500 Knox Road, College Park, Maryland 20740*

*[www.collegeparkmd.gov](http://www.collegeparkmd.gov)*

## ***Table of Contents***

### **REPORT:**

<b>Section 1:</b>	Redistricting Commission Purpose .....	5
	Redistricting Commissioners .....	5
<b>Section 2:</b>	Redistricting Commission Charge .....	7
<b>Section 3:</b>	Calculation of Ideal District Size .....	9
<b>Section 4:</b>	Redistricting Plans Developed by the Commission	
	• Plan A .....	12
	• Plan B .....	12
	• Plan C .....	12

### **APPENDICES:**

<b>Appendix A:</b>	Maps for Current Configuration and Plans A, B, C .....	16
<b>Appendix B:</b>	Explanation of Voter, Census, and Prisoner Data .....	20
	Registered (voting) Voter Data .....	22
	2010 U.S. Census Population Data for College Park by Census Block (Modified by the Redistricting Commission) .....	27
<b>Appendix C:</b>	Redistricting Resolution (10-R-31.Amended) .....	40
	Redistricting Resolution (10-R-31) .....	45
	City Code .....	48
	Amendment to City Code (11-CR-01) .....	50
<b>Appendix D:</b>	Redistricting Commission Meeting Minutes .....	54
	Public Hearing Notices and Public Comment .....	86

SECTION 1

REDISTRICTING  
COMMISSION PURPOSE  
AND COMMISSIONERS

## ***Redistricting Commission Purpose***

The College Park City Council established the Redistricting Commission to review the appropriate reapportionment of the council districts within the City of College Park, Maryland, and to make recommendations for drawing new boundaries for those districts. Resolution 10-R-31 created the 2011 Redistricting Commission. The Redistricting Commission comprises of 11 members, eight members (two per district) appointed by the City Council, two members appointed by the Mayor, and one member appointed by the University of Maryland Student Government Association (SGA).

## ***Redistricting Commissioners***

**Table 1: 2011 Redistricting Commission Members**

<b>Commission Member</b>	<b>Appointed By</b>
Robert Day (Chair)	Mayor Fellows
Tim Miller (Vice Chair)	Councilmember Nagle (District 1)
John Krouse	Councilmember Wojahn (District 1)
Jenna Beveridge	Councilmember Catlin (District 2)
Christopher Dullnig	Councilmember Perry (District 2)
Cynthia Lollar	Councilmember Stulich (District 3)
Sean O'Donnell	Councilmember Cook (District 3)
Alan Hew	Councilmember Afzali (District 4)
Dustyn Kujawa	Councilmember Mitchell (District 4)
Maxine Gross	Mayor Fellows
David Bransfield	SGA

\* All appointees are City residents.

SECTION 2

REDISTRICTING  
COMMISSION CHARGE

## ***Redistricting Commission Charge***

The Redistricting Commission received its charge from City Council on February 1, 2011 through Resolution 10-R-31 (Appendix C, Part 2). The City amended the charge in April 2011 through 10-R-31.Amended (Appendix C, Part 1) to reflect language which was adopted by City Council in 2003 through Charter Resolution 03-CR-1. Although adopted, the City never published 03-CR-1 in the City Code. 10-CR-31.Amended includes the language from the 2003 charter amendment which allows the Commission to include in the total population count, properties which were built around the time of the Census and occupied by the February 1, 2011 data deadline.

City Council's amended charge directs the Commission to review the city's population based on a combination of data sets to determine whether reapportionment is necessary. If determined necessary, the Commission should review the City's population based on a combination of two data sources: 1) the population according to the 2010 federal census ("Census Population"), and 2) the number of residents registered to vote in College Park who voted in either the 2009 citywide election or the 2010 gubernatorial election ("Voters").

City Council requested the Commission to develop at least three redistricting plans in which each of the four council districts contain a relatively equal share of the sum of the census population and the voters. The Supreme Court allows a maximum variance of 10 percent between the population of the largest and smallest districts. The Commission complied with the Supreme Court ruling and additionally aimed for no more than 5 percent variance between individual districts.

When apportioning the districts, the Commission received direction to consider:

1. Commonality of local economic and social interests;
2. Preservation of the core of existing council districts;
3. Geographic compactness of the districts;
4. Respect for neighborhoods; and
5. Applicable federal and state constitutional and statutory requirements.

The Commission was also directed to hold one or more public hearings to receive information and views from the public hearings to receive information and views from the public on the factors to be considered in the reapportionment of the four districts. The Commission was asked to submit its proposed plans to the Mayor and Council by May 31, 2011 for final approval to take place on or before July 12, 2011.

**SECTION 3**

**CALCULATION OF  
IDEAL DISTRICT SIZE**

## ***Calculation of Ideal District Size***

As required under the Commission’s charge, the Commission based the City population for redistricting on a combination of census population and voters as explained below.

**Census Population:** With respect to the census population, in accordance with the City Charter in Article II, Boundaries and Districts, §C2-2, as amended, the Commission determined it necessary to adjust the census figures for two reasons. First, the Census Bureau erroneously counted most of the on-campus student housing population because of the time of year in which they distributed the forms. The Census counted many dormitories as having a population of zero residents when in reality all of the dormitories are occupied to full bed count capacity most months in the year. The Census Bureau had a total population of 30,413. After adjusting the count for the dormitories, the Redistricting Commission found the total city population of 31,907.

Second, two new major off-campus student housing complexes were constructed although not yet fully occupied at the time of the census. By the starting date of the Redistricting Commission, February 1, 2011, these buildings were occupied (Mazza—69% occupied; University View II—100% occupied).

**Voters:** The Commission used voter numbers provided by the Prince George’s County Board of Elections. College Park has a total of 16,011 residents registered to vote. By merging the voter records for residents that voted in the most recent City election (in 2009) or in the most recent statewide election (in 2010), the Commission determined that the unduplicated voter count for redistricting purposes is 4,324. Appendix B contains the specifics for the voter data.

Table 2 shows the census population and voter numbers for the existing City Council districts. The table also shows the ideal district size, based on total population and voters, and the percent to which each district deviates from that ideal size.

**Table 2: Current District Population Totals and Deviation from Ideal District Size**

District	Adjusted Census Population	Voters	Total	Ideal District Size	Difference Between District Total and Ideal District Size	%Dev.
1	6527	1566	8093	9,058	-965.00	-10.7%
2	7832	752	8584	9,058	-474	-5.2%
3	7136	912	8048	9,057	-1,009	-11.1%
4	10412	1094	11506	9,058	+2,448	+27.0%
<b>Total</b>	31,907	4,324	36,231	36,555	---	---


The Commission determined the ideal size for each council district as 9,058 population with voters and 7,977 population without voters. These numbers include the adjusted census population estimate of 31,907 and the unduplicated voter count of 4,324. The legal allowable variance of 10 percent between the largest and smallest districts permits a five percent deviation from ideal district size in each district. This translates to a minimum district size of 8,605 and a maximum district size of 9,511. Under the current district configuration, the variance of the total census population and voters between the largest and smallest districts (43%) falls outside of the allowable variance, thus justifying the need for redistricting.

Table 3 separates city neighborhoods by district according to the current (2011) district configuration and Figure 1 shows these neighborhoods on a map.

**Table 3: At-A-Glance—City Neighborhoods by Current Districts**

District 1	District 2	District 3	District 4
Camden – Wynfield Park Sunnyside Hollywood Daniels Park – Oak Springs – Branchville	Daniels Park – Oak Springs – Branchville Berwyn Lakeland West US Route 1	College Park Estates – Yarrow Old Town Calvert Hills Southwest US Route 1	Camden – Wynfield Park West US Route 1 College Park Woods Crystal Springs Autoville – Cherry Hill

**Figure 1: City Neighborhoods**



SECTION 4

REDISTRICTING  
PLANS DEVELOPED  
BY THE COMMISSION

## ***Redistricting Plans Developed by the Commission***

Based on the charge given by City Council, the Redistricting Commission developed three plans for the City Council to consider for establishing the new district lines. In the composition of these plans, the Commission strove to take into account the five factors stressed in the charge to the Commission:

1. Commonality of local economic and social interests;
2. Preservation of the core of existing council districts;
3. Geographic compactness of the districts;
4. Respect for neighborhood; and
5. Applicable Federal and State Constitutional and Statutory requirements.

The Commission identified a prevailing theme for each plan.

**Plan A:** This plan seeks to balance the district totals as best as possible to the ideal district size without taking into account future development. This theme preserves the core of existing council districts, presents geographically compact council districts, respects existing neighborhoods, and follows Federal and State Constitutional and Statutory requirements.

**Plan B:** This plan seeks to keep communities together and balance multi-family housing within districts. This plan balances all five factors identified in the Commission's charge.

**Plan C:** This option accounts for future development by keeping the districts with pending development smaller. Plan C balances all five factors identified in the Commission's charge, particularly preserving current neighborhoods and keeping university residence communities in tact.

Table 4 provides a summary of the neighborhoods and areas that would comprise each of the four districts under each of the options. Table 5 summarizes the changes that each plan would make to the current configuration.

Table 6 displays each district's population under each of the options. All options fit within the five percent allowable variance from the ideal district size. By option, the largest deviation from the ideal district size includes 3.4 percent deviation under Plan A, 4.0 percent deviation under Plan B, and 4.0 percent deviation under Plan C.

All of the options produce a variance of less than 10 percent between the largest and smallest districts; the variances for each option include: 5.5 percent under Plan A, 6.1 percent under Plan B, and 7.5 under Plan C. Under Plans B and C, the smallest districts (District 2 and District 3) will soon grow in population through planned annexations and new development scheduled in the next few years, thus further balancing the district sizes.

**Table 4: Neighborhoods within the Proposed District Boundaries**

District	Plan A	Plan B	Plan C
1	Camden Wynfield Park Sunnyside Hollywood Daniels Park (north)	Camden Wynfield Park Sunnyside Hollywood Daniels Park (north) Mazza Grandmarc	Camden Wynfield Park Sunnyside Hollywood Daniels Park (north) Mazza Grandmarc
2	Daniels Park (south) Branchville Berwyn Lakeland East Campus Varsity Campus Residence Halls: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>North Hill Community</li> <li>South Hill Community</li> <li>Ellicott Community</li> <li>South Campus Commons Buildings 3 and 4</li> </ul>	Daniels Park (south) Branchville Berwyn Lakeland East Campus Varsity Campus Residence Halls: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>North Hill Community</li> <li>South Hill Community</li> <li>Ellicott Community</li> <li>South Campus Commons Buildings 3 and 4</li> </ul>	Daniels Park (south) Branchville Berwyn Lakeland University View Varsity Campus Residence Halls: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>North Hill Community</li> <li>South Hill Community</li> <li>South Campus Commons Buildings 3 and 4</li> </ul>
3	College Park Estates Yarrow Calvert Hills Old Town Lord Calvert Manor Fraternity Row Campus Residence Halls: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>South Campus Commons Buildings 1, 2, 5, 6, and 7</li> </ul>	College Park Estates Yarrow Calvert Hills Old Town Lord Calvert Manor Fraternity Row Campus Residence Halls: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>South Campus Commons Buildings 1, 2, 5, 6, and 7</li> </ul>	College Park Estates Yarrow Calvert Hills Old Town Lord Calvert Manor Fraternity Row East Campus Campus Residence Halls: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>South Campus Commons Buildings 1, 2, 5, 6, and 7</li> </ul>
4	College Park Woods Crystal Springs Autoville (north and south) Patricia Court Cherry Hill University Courtyards Mazza Grandmarc University View Campus Residence Halls: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Cambridge Community</li> <li>Denton Community</li> </ul>	College Park Woods Crystal Springs Autoville (north and south) Patricia Court Cherry Hill University Courtyards University View Campus Residence Halls: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Cambridge Community</li> <li>Denton Community</li> </ul>	College Park Woods Crystal Springs Autoville (north and south) Patricia Court Cherry Hill University Courtyards Campus Residence Halls: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Cambridge Community</li> <li>Denton Community</li> <li>Ellicott Community</li> </ul>

**Table 5: Summary of Changes in District Boundaries by Plan**


District	Plan A	Plan B	Plan C
1	Shift the northern boundary on the west side of US 1 south to the Capital Beltway to encompass Camden.	Shift the northern boundary on the west side of US 1 south to the I-495 Capital Beltway to encompass Camden. Shift the western boundary west to encompass the Mazza Grandmarc property and adjacent property between 9520 and 9604 Baltimore Avenue.	Shift the northern boundary on the west side of US 1 south to the I-495 Capital Beltway to encompass Camden. Shift the western boundary west to encompass the Mazza Grandmarc property area and adjacent property between 9520 and 9604 Baltimore Avenue.
2	Shift the southern boundary south to encompass the area south of Campus Drive along Mowatt Lane, Lehigh Road, Carroll Hall, Wicomico Hall, Caroline Hall, Roszburg Drive, Knox Road, and US 1. Shift the western boundary east and south to exclude the area bounded by Pontiac Street to the north, Paint Branch Stream to the west, Navahoe Street to the south, and US 1 to the east.	Shift the southern boundary south to encompass the area south of Campus Drive along Mowatt Lane, Lehigh Road, Carroll Hall, Wicomico Hall, Caroline Hall, Roszburg Drive, Knox Road, and US 1. Shift the western boundary east and south to exclude the area bounded by Pontiac Street to the north, Paint Branch Stream to the west, Navahoe Street to the south, and US 1 to the east.	Boundary shifts to follow the west side of US 1 from its intersection with Cherokee Street south to the intersection of US 1 and Berwyn Road, travels west along an imaginary line which terminates at the Paint Branch Stream; south along the stream to the intersection of Paint Branch Parkway and Farm Drive; west on Farm Drive; south on Regents Drive; west on Fieldhouse Drive; south on Union Lane; then south along Campus Drive in a southerly arched configuration turning west on Campus Drive; south along Mowatt lane; turning east and following an imaginary line to the east (north of the Mowatt lane parking garage) to the intersection with Preinkert Drive; travelling north on Preinkert Drive; then turning east following an imaginary line just south of Lefrak hall; and then turning south between the South Campus Commons 2 and the South Hill Community to Knox Road to encompass South Campus Commons 3 and 4; and then east along Knox Road to the intersection with US 1.
3	Shift the northern boundary south to exclude the area encompassed by Lehigh Road to the north, US 1 to the east, Knox Road to the south, and Roszburg Drive to the west. Shift the southwestern boundary to encompass the area bounded by Mowatt Lane to the east and south, Colonnade Avenue to the north, and Preinkert Drive to the east, LeFrak Hall and South Campus Commons Buildings 1 and 2 to the west.	Shift the northern boundary south to exclude the area encompassed by Lehigh Road to the north, US 1 to the east, Knox Road to the south, and Roszburg Drive to the west. Shift the southwestern boundary to encompass the area bounded by Mowatt Lane to the east and south, Colonnade Avenue to the north, and Preinkert Drive to the east, LeFrak Hall and South Campus Commons Buildings 1 and 2 to the west.	Shift the northern boundary south to exclude the area encompassed by Lehigh Road to the north, US 1 to the east, Knox Road to the south, and Roszburg Drive to the west. Shift the southwestern boundary to encompass the area bounded by Mowatt Lane to the east and south, Colonnade Avenue to the north, and Preinkert Drive to the east, LeFrak Hall and South Campus Commons Buildings 1 and 2 to the west. Shift northern boundary north to the natural boundary of US 1 to the west and Paint Branch Parkway to the north and east to encompass the East Campus Area. District three will also include new development west of Mowatt Lane.
4	Shift the northeastern boundary west of US 1 south to the I-495 Capital Beltway. Shift the southeastern boundary north to Campus Drive. Shift the eastern boundary east to include the area bounded by Pontiac Street to the north, Navahoe Street to the south, and US 1 to the east.	Shift the northeastern boundary west of US 1 south to the I-495 Capital Beltway. Shift the eastern boundary west to exclude the Mazza Grandmarc property area and adjacent property between 9520 and 9604 Baltimore Avenue. Shift the southeastern boundary and eastern boundary north to Campus Drive. Shift the eastern boundary east to include the area bounded by Pontiac Street to the north, Navahoe Street to the south, and US 1 to the east.	Shift the northeastern boundary west of US 1 south to the Capital Beltway. Shift the eastern boundary west to exclude the area bounded by Hollywood Road to the north, Mazza Grandmarc to the west, Mazza Grandmarc and Jordan Kitt's Music Store to the south, and US 1 to the east. Shift the southeastern boundary north to Campus Drive. Shift the eastern boundary east to Fieldhouse Drive to the south and Regents Drive to the east.

**Table 6: Deviation from Ideal District Size for Redistricting Plans**

District	Census Population	Voters	Total Population	Ideal District Size	Deviation from Ideal District Size	Percent Deviation
<b>Plan A</b>						
1	7308	1667	8975	9058	-83	-0.9%
2	8236	781	9017	9058	-41	-0.5%
3	7913	961	8874	9057	-183	-2.0%
4	8450	915	9365	9058	307	3.4%
<b>Plan B</b>						
1	7743	1,674	9,417	9058	359	4.0%
2	8236	781	9,017	9058	-41	-0.5%
3	7913	961	8,874	9057	-183	-2.0%
4	8015	908	8,923	9058	-135	-1.5%
<b>Plan C</b>						
1	7743	1674	9417	9058	359	4.0%
2	8019	737	8756	9058	-302	-3.3%
3	7913	961	8874	9057	-183	-2.0%
4	8232	952	9184	9058	126	1.4%


# Appendices—Appendix A

## Current District Configuration Map




# Appendices—Appendix A

## Plan A Map



**Appendices—Appendix A**  
**Plan B Map**

**City of College Park**  
**Council Districts**  
**Plan B**




# Appendices—Appendix A

## Plan C Map

### City of College Park

#### Council Districts

#### Plan C



## **Appendices—Appendix B**

The Redistricting Commission based the City population used for redistricting on a combination of voter data and Census population numbers. This appendix discusses the specific numbers used by the Redistricting Commission and explains how the Redistricting Commission implemented the “Representation without Population Act of 2010” in relation to prisoner data.

### **Voters:**

The Commission used the voter numbers provided by the Prince George’s County Board of Elections. A total of 1,449 residents registered to vote in the City of College Park voted in the 2009 City Election and 2,874 residents voted in the most recent 2010 Gubernatorial Election. By merging voter records for both elections, the Commission calculated 4,324 unduplicated voters who voted in either election (counted only once if they voted in both). To divide the voters into districts, the Commission compared the home addresses of registered (voting) voters to each census block in which they reside. This allowed the voter count to remain accurate as the Commission moved census blocks from one district to another. The table below shows the voter distribution by current district and by election.

### **Registered (Voting) Voters by District:**

<b>District</b>	<b>City Election 2009</b>	<b>Gubernatorial Election 2010</b>	<b>Total Unduplicated Count of Voters</b>
1	595	985	1,566
2	175	624	752
3	373	573	912
4	306	692	1,094
<b>Total</b>	<b>1,449</b>	<b>2,874</b>	<b>4,324</b>

### **Census Population**

The Commission adjusted the Census data based on University of Maryland bed count information due to the fact that the Census over- / under-counted census blocks containing group quarters. The following table summarizes the block group changes made by the Redistricting Commission based on University of Maryland bed count information.

With City Council approval, the Commission also counted the View II at 100 percent occupancy and Mazza Grandmarc at 69 percent occupancy. These buildings were added to the total Census count for current District 4. Although vacant at the time of the Census counting, these buildings reached the aforementioned percent occupancy by the February 1<sup>st</sup> deadline for the Redistricting Commission to consider data.

**Adjustment to Census Population Estimates for On-Campus Housing:**

Census Tract	Census Block	Census Count	Adjusted Count	Difference	Note
807000	2000	213	435	222	Adjusted based on actual occupancy at Mazza Grandmarc
807000	4007	3	1,573	1,570	Adjusted based on actual occupancy at View II
807200	2008	1,427	1,500	73	Adjusted to reflect University reported bed count for Bel Air, Chestertown, and Cambridge Residence Halls
807200	2009	1,740	1,632	-108	Adjusted to reflect University reported bed count for Denton, Elkton, & Easton Residence Halls
807200	2012	2,050	1,790	-260	Adjusted to reflect University reported bed count for La Plata, Ellicott, and Hagerstown Residence Halls
807200	3002	2,124	793		
807200	3002A		911		
807200	3002B		268	-152	Adjusted to reflect University reported bed count for many of the South Hill Community and North Hill Community Residence Halls
807200	3010	1,774	1,274		
807200	3010A		502	2	Adjusted to reflect South Campus Commons' Buildings 1, 2, 4, 5, 6, and 7 reported bed count
807200	3011	465	411	-54	Adjusted to reflect University reported bed count for Carroll, Caroline, and Wicomico Residence
807200	3013	1,181	305		
807200	3013A	0	553	-323	Adjusted to reflect South Campus Commons 3 & 4 reported bed count and the bed count for Charles and Allegany Residence Halls
807200	4004	495	808	313	Adjusted to reflect University reported bed count for the Courtyards

**The Representation without Population Act of 2010 and Prisoner Data:**

The Representation without Population Act of 2010 requires redistricting counts to include prisoners in state or federal prisons based on the prisoner's last known address before incarceration. Prince George's County has 1,700 prisoners which claim a Prince George's County address prior to incarceration. Of the five precincts which cover College Park four of them show adjustment for prisoners. The College Park Redistricting Commission learned that of the 1,700 prisoners for Prince George's County, only 13 prisoners declare a College Park address. Since the Commission discovered such a small number of prisoners and found no way to separate the precinct count of prisoners by College Park councilmanic districts, even after repeated efforts, the Commission excluded the 13 prisoners from the population count for the City.

## **Appendices—Appendix B**

### **Appendix B Part 1: Registered (voting) Voter Data**

The following table lists the total number of voters (“Voters”) in each census block (“Block”) by census tract (“Tract”) as determined by the Commission from County voter records. With reference to voter data, the Redistricting Commission used these numbers.

Tract	Block	Voters
806400	1000	0
806400	1001	0
806400	1002	0
806400	1003	0
806400	1014	0
806400	1016	0
806400	1017	0
806400	1018	2
806400	1019	0
806400	1020	0
806400	1021	17
806400	1022	0
806400	1025	0
806800	3000	69
806800	3001	0
806800	3002	34
806800	3003	43
806800	3004	21
806800	3005	15
806800	3006	43
806800	3007	30
806800	3008	21
806800	3010	9
806800	3011	18
806900	1000	17
806900	1001	29
806900	1002	20
806900	1003	27
806900	1004	17
806900	1005	18
806900	1006	3
806900	1007	20
806900	1008	16
806900	1009	16
806900	1010	0

Tract	Block	Voters
806900	1011	27
806900	1012	15
806900	1013	34
806900	1014	33
806900	1015	35
806900	1016	21
806900	1017	0
806900	1018	26
806900	1019	0
806900	1020	36
806900	1021	46
806900	1022	16
806900	2000	0
806900	2001	0
806900	2002	0
806900	2003	14
806900	2004	25
806900	2005	19
806900	2006	0
806900	2007	0
806900	2008	2
806900	2009	0
806900	2010	4
806900	2011	21
806900	2012	32
806900	2013	9
806900	2014	0
806900	2015	0
806900	2016	8
806900	2017	13
806900	2018	20
806900	2019	7
806900	2020	0
806900	2021	11
806900	2022	15

Tract	Block	Voters
806900	2023	14
806900	2024	10
806900	2025	16
806900	2026	14
806900	2027	14
806900	2028	0
806900	2029	0
806900	2030	13
806900	2031	60
806900	2032	0
806900	2033	0
806900	2034	18
806900	2035	1
806900	2036	0
806900	2037	0
806900	3003	29
806900	3004	0
806900	3005	0
806900	3006	31
806900	3007	2
806900	3008	11
806900	3009	0
806900	3010	9
806900	3011	6
806900	3012	12
806900	3013	4
806900	3014	8
806900	3015	0
806900	3016	5
806900	3017	11
806900	3018	13
806900	3019	13
806900	3020	19
806900	3021	6
806900	3022	6

## Appendices—Appendix B Part 1

Tract	Block	Voters
806900	3023	0
806900	3024	12
806900	3025	11
807000	1000	15
807000	1001	17
807000	1002	5
807000	1003	10
807000	1004	0
807000	1005	0
807000	1006	7
807000	1007	10
807000	1008	6
807000	1009	9
807000	1010	7
807000	1011	12
807000	1012	0
807000	1013	10
807000	1014	2
807000	1015	6
807000	1016	0
807000	1017	0
807000	1018	8
807000	1019	19
807000	1020	10
807000	1021	6
807000	1022	0
807000	1023	6
807000	1024	7
807000	1025	0
807000	1026	10
807000	1027	10
807000	1028	0
807000	1029	0
807000	1030	0
807000	1031	0
807000	1032	0
807000	2000	40
807000	2001	13
807000	2002	0

Tract	Block	Voters
807000	2003	0
807000	2004	4
807000	2005	13
807000	2006	7
807000	2007	0
807000	2008	0
807000	2009	0
807000	2010	0
807000	2011	15
807000	2012	6
807000	2013	6
807000	2014	15
807000	2015	4
807000	2016	5
807000	2017	5
807000	2018	12
807000	2019	11
807000	2020	12
807000	2021	2
807000	2022	0
807000	2023	0
807000	2024	0
807000	2025	5
807000	2026	33
807000	2027	10
807000	2028	14
807000	2029	27
807000	2030	10
807000	2031	9
807000	2032	23
807000	2033	0
807000	2034	6
807000	2035	0
807000	2036	0
807000	2037	8
807000	2038	0
807000	2039	0
807000	2040	17
807000	2041	0

Tract	Block	Voters
807000	2042	0
807000	2043	7
807000	3000	0
807000	3001	0
807000	3002	21
807000	3003	7
807000	3004	0
807000	3005	0
807000	3006	0
807000	3007	0
807000	3008	8
807000	3009	26
807000	3010	0
807000	3011	29
807000	3012	45
807000	3013	0
807000	3014	0
807000	3015	0
807000	3016	0
807000	3017	18
807000	3018	16
807000	3019	25
807000	3020	10
807000	3021	0
807000	3022	0
807000	3023	0
807000	3024	0
807000	3025	0
807000	3026	0
807000	3027	0
807000	3028	0
807000	3029	0
807000	3030	0
807000	3031	0
807000	3032	0
807000	4000	0
807000	4001	0
807000	4002	0
807000	4003	0

## Appendices—Appendix B Part 1

Tract	Block	Voters
807000	4004	0
807000	4005	0
807000	4006	0
807000	4007	29
807000	4008	0
807000	4009	0
807000	4010	0
807000	4011	0
807000	4012	0
807000	4013	0
807000	4014	0
807000	4015	0
807000	4016	2
807000	4017	0
807000	4018	0
807000	4019	2
807000	4020	0
807000	4021	12
807000	4022	11
807000	4023	9
807000	4024	3
807000	4025	18
807000	4026	0
807000	4027	3
807000	4028	8
807000	4029	12
807000	4030	6
807000	4031	0
807000	4032	13
807000	4033	22
807000	4034	20
807000	4035	7
807000	4036	3
807000	4037	8
807000	4038	0
807000	4039	3
807000	4040	10
807000	4041	8
807000	4042	0

Tract	Block	Voters
807000	4043	58
807000	4044	4
807000	4045	11
807000	4046	1
807000	4047	16
807000	2000A	7
807000	4007A	0
807000	4007B	0
807102	1000	5
807102	1001	17
807102	1002	13
807102	1003	13
807102	1004	16
807102	1005	0
807102	1006	23
807102	1007	11
807102	1008	9
807102	1009	14
807102	1010	12
807102	1011	42
807102	1012	8
807102	1013	7
807102	1014	0
807102	1015	0
807102	1016	0
807102	1017	0
807102	1018	0
807102	1019	0
807102	1020	19
807102	1021	14
807102	1022	34
807102	1023	20
807102	1024	27
807102	1025	33
807102	1026	24
807102	1027	32
807102	1028	0
807102	1029	47
807102	1030	1

Tract	Block	Voters
807102	1031	0
807102	1032	0
807102	1033	5
807102	1034	0
807102	2000	0
807102	2001	0
807102	2002	0
807102	2003	0
807102	2004	0
807102	2005	0
807102	2006	0
807102	2007	0
807102	2009	0
807102	2010	0
807102	2011	0
807102	2012	0
807102	2013	0
807102	2014	0
807102	2015	0
807102	2016	0
807102	2017	0
807102	2018	0
807102	2019	0
807102	2020	0
807102	2021	0
807102	2022	0
807102	2023	0
807102	2024	0
807102	2029	0
807102	2030	0
807102	2031	0
807102	2032	0
807102	2075	0
807102	2076	0
807102	2106	0
807200	1000	0
807200	1001	0
807200	1002	7
807200	1003	29

## Appendices—Appendix B Part 1

Tract	Block	Voters
807200	1004	0
807200	1005	0
807200	1006	0
807200	1007	4
807200	1008	0
807200	1009	0
807200	1010	0
807200	1011	0
807200	1012	1
807200	1013	2
807200	1014	3
807200	1015	1
807200	1016	3
807200	1017	2
807200	1018	13
807200	1019	10
807200	1020	7
807200	1021	0
807200	1022	3
807200	1023	5
807200	1024	3
807200	1025	7
807200	1026	0
807200	1027	0
807200	1028	0
807200	1029	0
807200	1030	0
807200	1031	7
807200	1032	11
807200	1033	2
807200	1034	4
807200	1035	2
807200	1036	4
807200	1037	0
807200	1038	0
807200	1039	0
807200	2002	0
807200	2003	0
807200	2004	0

Tract	Block	Voters
807200	2005	0
807200	2006	0
807200	2007	0
807200	2008	106
807200	2009	109
807200	2010	0
807200	2011	0
807200	2012	73
807200	2013	0
807200	2014	0
807200	2015	0
807200	2016	0
807200	2017	0
807200	2018	0
807200	2019	0
807200	2020	0
807200	2021	0
807200	2022	0
807200	2023	0
807200	2024	0
807200	2025	0
807200	2026	0
807200	2027	0
807200	2028	0
807200	3000	0
807200	3001	0
807200	3002	37
807200	3003	0
807200	3004	0
807200	3005	0
807200	3006	0
807200	3007	0
807200	3008	0
807200	3009	0
807200	3010	0
807200	3011	29
807200	3012	0
807200	3013	0
807200	3014	0

Tract	Block	Voters
807200	3015	0
807200	3016	5
807200	3017	16
807200	3018	2
807200	3019	0
807200	3020	0
807200	3021	0
807200	3022	9
807200	4004	19
807200	4005	0
807200	4006	0
807200	4009	0
807200	4010	0
807200	4012	0
807200	4015	0
807200	4016	13
807200	4019	0
807200	4020	0
807200	4022	0
807200	4024	0
807200	4025	0
807200	4027	1
807200	4028	5
807200	4029	22
807200	4030	9
807200	4031	14
807200	4032	37
807200	4033	0
807200	4034	0
807200	4035	0
807200	4036	0
807200	4037	0
807200	4038	0
807200	4039	0
807200	4040	0
807200	4041	0
807200	4042	0
807200	4043	0
807200	4044	0

### **Appendices—Appendix B Part 1**

Tract	Block	Voters
807200	2008A	0
807200	3002A	30
807200	3002B	4
807200	3010A	31
807200	3013A	7
807200	4007A	0
807200	4019A	0
807301	1000	0
807301	1011	0
807301	1012	0
807301	1013	0
807301	1014	0
807301	2006	18
807301	2010	74
807301	2011	51
807301	2012	72
807301	2013	23
807301	2014	20
807301	2015	30
807301	2016	5
807301	2017	23
807301	2018	13
807301	2019	21
807301	2021	0
807301	2023	23
807301	2024	55
807301	2025	19
807301	2026	10
807301	2027	10
807305	1016	0
807305	1028	0
807305	1029	0
807404	2129	0
807404	2130	17
807404	2131	14
807404	2132	40
807404	2133	19
807404	2134	0
807404	2135	0

Tract	Block	Voters
807404	2136	0
807404	2137	108
807404	2138	0
807404	2141	0
807404	2142	0
807404	2143	0
807404	2144	0
807404	2145	0
807405	3045	5
807405	3046	0
807405	3047	96

## **Appendices—Appendix B**

### **Appendix B Part 2: College Park Modified 2010 U.S. Census Population Data by Census Block**

The following table lists population information modified by the Redistricting Commission .  
With reference to modified Census data, the Commission used these population numbers.

<b>Tract</b>	<b>Block</b>	<b>Locx</b>	<b>Locy</b>	<b>Pop</b>	<b>Block color add</b>	<b>Block check</b>	<b>Original district</b>
806400	1000	939	3248	0	1	0	4
806400	1001	809	3233	0	2	0	4
806400	1002	743	3216	0	3	0	3
806400	1003	670	3196	0	4	0	3
806400	1014	1591	3790	0	5	0	4
806400	1016	1053	3306	0	6	0	4
806400	1017	1248	3519	0	7	0	4
806400	1018	1360	3609	44	8	0	3
806400	1019	1367	3586	0	9	0	3
806400	1020	1449	3648	0	256	0	4
806400	1021	1539	3737	224	257	0	3
806400	1022	1643	3776	0	258	0	2
806400	1025	1685	3798	0	259	0	2
806800	3000	2984	3378	196	260	0	3
806800	3001	2893	3834	0	261	0	3
806800	3002	3179	3749	61	262	0	3
806800	3003	3187	3504	94	263	0	3
806800	3004	3331	3395	71	264	0	3
806800	3005	3102	3194	36	265	0	3
806800	3006	3251	3240	80	512	0	3
806800	3007	3109	3331	85	513	0	3
806800	3008	3113	3689	62	514	0	3
806800	3010	3209	3324	38	515	0	3
806800	3011	3274	3357	68	516	0	3
806900	1000	3182	721	40	517	0	1
806900	1001	2882	682	102	518	0	1
806900	1002	2875	738	98	519	0	1
806900	1003	3029	711	49	520	0	1
806900	1004	2889	628	58	521	0	1
806900	1005	3104	713	43	768	0	1
806900	1006	2812	917	0	769	0	1
806900	1007	2905	794	84	770	0	1
806900	1008	3067	794	78	771	0	1
806900	1009	3209	821	91	772	0	1
806900	1010	3183	823	0	773	0	1
806900	1011	2933	954	122	774	0	1

### **Appendices –Appendix B Part 2**

<b>Tract</b>	<b>Block</b>	<b>Locx</b>	<b>Locy</b>	<b>Pop</b>	<b>Block color add</b>	<b>Block check</b>	<b>Original district</b>
806900	1012	2914	849	76	775	0	1
806900	1013	3080	849	83	776	0	1
806900	1014	3055	1021	159	777	0	1
806900	1015	2997	1012	127	1024	0	1
806900	1016	3183	964	38	1025	0	1
806900	1017	3223	915	7	1026	0	1
806900	1018	2810	1028	84	1027	0	1
806900	1019	2701	1036	0	1028	0	1
806900	1020	2818	1090	85	1029	0	1
806900	1021	3113	1027	159	1030	0	1
806900	1022	3159	1118	84	1031	0	1
806900	2000	2616	637	13	1032	0	1
806900	2001	2400	603	0	1033	0	1
806900	2002	2433	656	0	1280	0	1
806900	2003	2571	695	114	1281	0	1
806900	2004	2592	741	118	1282	0	1
806900	2005	2368	895	63	1283	0	1
806900	2006	2334	883	0	1284	0	1
806900	2007	2379	687	0	1285	0	1
806900	2008	2335	1058	19	1286	0	1
806900	2009	2297	1071	0	1287	0	1
806900	2010	2423	929	21	1288	0	1
806900	2011	2465	882	54	1289	0	1
806900	2012	2511	842	92	1536	0	1
806900	2013	2641	853	51	1537	0	1
806900	2014	2730	777	0	1538	0	1
806900	2015	2715	915	0	1539	0	1
806900	2016	2390	1090	50	1540	0	1
806900	2017	2439	1003	45	1541	0	1
806900	2018	2556	971	57	1542	0	1
806900	2019	2655	1023	32	1543	0	1
806900	2020	2675	1110	0	1544	0	1
806900	2021	2630	1142	42	1545	0	1
806900	2022	2570	1129	34	1792	0	1
806900	2023	2556	1033	55	1793	0	1
806900	2024	2510	1117	41	1794	0	1
806900	2025	2450	1104	41	1795	0	1
806900	2026	2405	1268	113	1796	0	1

**Appendices—Appendix B Part 2**

<b>Tract</b>	<b>Block</b>	<b>Locx</b>	<b>Locy</b>	<b>Pop</b>	<b>Block color add</b>	<b>Blockcheck</b>	<b>Original district</b>
806900	2027	2322	1240	42	1797	0	1
806900	2028	2317	1140	0	1798	0	1
806900	2029	2322	1115	3	1799	0	1
806900	2030	2481	1255	75	1800	0	1
806900	2031	2568	1288	174	1801	0	1
806900	2032	2635	1303	0	2048	0	1
806900	2033	2611	1419	0	2049	0	1
806900	2034	2504	1391	78	2050	0	1
806900	2035	2548	1464	61	2051	0	1
806900	2036	2596	1489	0	2052	0	1
806900	2037	2261	1232	0	2053	0	1
806900	3003	3306	999	108	2054	0	1
806900	3006	3103	1353	102	2055	0	1
806900	3007	3040	1259	24	2056	0	1
806900	3008	3042	1195	59	2057	0	1
806900	3009	2994	1238	0	2304	0	1
806900	3010	2903	1193	77	2305	0	1
806900	3011	2831	1183	37	2306	0	1
806900	3012	2771	1171	52	2307	0	1
806900	3013	2711	1159	10	2308	0	1
806900	3014	2677	1150	0	2309	0	1
806900	3015	2650	1279	0	2310	0	1
806900	3016	2685	1285	43	2311	0	1
806900	3017	2744	1298	61	2312	0	1
806900	3018	2805	1311	60	2313	0	1
806900	3019	2865	1323	55	65536	0	1
806900	3020	2952	1342	104	65537	0	1
806900	3021	2955	1243	34	65538	0	1
806900	3022	2681	1383	35	65539	0	1
806900	3023	2631	1372	0	65540	0	1
806900	3024	2894	1431	68	65541	0	1
806900	3025	2771	1402	50	65542	0	1
807000	1000	2979	1550	49	65543	0	3
807000	1001	2866	1491	61	65544	0	2
807000	1003	2671	1432	33	65792	0	2
807000	1004	2621	1422	0	65793	0	2
807000	1005	2606	1494	2	65794	0	2
807000	1006	2660	1480	35	65795	0	2
807000	1007	2746	1501	28	65796	0	2

### **Appendices—Appendix B Part 2**

<b>Tract</b>	<b>Block</b>	<b>Locx</b>	<b>Locy</b>	<b>Pop</b>	<b>Block color add</b>	<b>Block check</b>	<b>Original district</b>
807000	1008	2736	1547	34	65797	0	2
807000	1009	2650	1529	31	65798	0	2
807000	1010	2654	1574	16	65799	0	2
807000	1011	2632	1614	61	65800	0	1
807000	1002	2762	1453	49	65801	0	2
807000	1013	2644	1671	56	66048	0	2
807000	1014	2719	1638	9	66049	0	2
807000	1015	2723	1595	35	66050	0	2
807000	1016	2850	1738	0	66051	0	1
807000	1017	2804	1872	0	66052	0	4
807000	1018	2677	1721	37	66053	0	2
807000	1019	2600	1828	88	66054	0	2
807000	1020	2657	1778	34	66055	0	2
807000	1021	2739	1931	25	66056	0	4
807000	1012	2563	1690	0	66057	0	4
807000	1023	2628	2062	35	66304	0	4
807000	1024	2541	2057	19	66305	0	2
807000	1025	2510	1950	2	66306	0	2
807000	1026	2545	1964	28	66307	0	2
807000	1027	2624	1963	38	66308	0	2
807000	1028	2687	2183	0	66309	0	2
807000	1029	2473	2099	0	66310	0	2
807000	1030	2466	2143	0	66311	0	4
807000	1031	2510	2153	0	66312	0	2
807000	1022	2646	2130	0	66313	0	2
807000	2000	2044	1170	213	66560	0	2
807000	2001	2130	1607	0	66561	0	2
807000	2002	2232	1364	0	66562	0	3
807000	2003	2098	1888	0	66563	0	2
807000	2004	2084	1817	42	66564	0	2
807000	2005	1986	784	21	66565	0	2
807000	2006	2007	732	39	66566	0	1
807000	2007	2216	1438	0	66567	0	1
807000	2008	2233	1035	2	66568	0	1
807000	1032	2582	2178	0	66569	0	2
807000	2010	2269	1110	0	66816	0	1
807000	2011	2512	1565	55	66817	0	2
807000	2012	2517	1497	28	66818	0	1
807000	2013	2432	1436	50	66819	0	1

### **Appendices—Appendix B Part 2**

<b>Tract</b>	<b>Block</b>	<b>Locx</b>	<b>Locy</b>	<b>Pop</b>	<b>Block color add</b>	<b>Block check</b>	<b>Original district</b>
807000	2014	2357	1399	46	66820	0	1
807000	2015	2274	1380	13	66821	0	2
807000	2016	2256	1454	15	66822	0	2
807000	2017	2334	1487	24	66823	0	1
807000	2018	2417	1523	48	66824	0	2
807000	2009	2289	945	0	66825	0	1
807000	2020	2313	1575	50	67072	0	2
807000	2021	2236	1543	13	67073	0	2
807000	2022	2198	1526	0	67074	0	3
807000	2023	2173	1647	0	67075	0	2
807000	2024	2140	1820	0	67076	0	3
807000	2025	2233	1799	84	67077	0	2
807000	2026	2282	1880	80	67078	0	2
807000	2027	2412	1888	42	67079	0	1
807000	2028	2357	1876	55	67080	0	4
807000	2019	2396	1612	28	67081	0	2
807000	2030	2403	1745	22	67328	0	2
807000	2031	2459	1735	32	67329	0	2
807000	2032	2496	1694	117	67330	0	2
807000	2033	2544	1732	0	67331	0	2
807000	2034	2573	1590	0	67332	0	2
807000	2035	2584	1541	0	67333	0	2
807000	2036	2451	1826	0	67334	0	2
807000	2037	2472	1899	60	67335	0	2
807000	2038	2509	1904	0	67336	0	2
807000	2029	2279	1688	183	67337	0	2
807000	2040	2417	2037	121	67584	0	2
807000	2041	2208	1892	0	67585	0	2
807000	2042	2169	1870	0	67586	0	2
807000	2043	2434	1789	11	67587	0	2
807000	3000	2669	2288	0	67588	0	2
807000	3001	2573	2208	0	67589	0	2
807000	3002	2562	2411	87	67590	0	2
807000	3003	2473	2309	51	67591	0	2
807000	3004	2449	2217	5	67592	0	2
807000	2039	2482	2041	0	67593	0	2
807000	3006	2416	2372	2	67840	0	2
807000	3007	2406	2422	5	67841	0	1
807000	3008	2482	2441	37	67842	0	2

### **Appendices—Appendix B Part 2**

<b>Tract</b>	<b>Block</b>	<b>Locx</b>	<b>Locy</b>	<b>Pop</b>	<b>Block color add</b>	<b>Block check</b>	<b>Original district</b>
807000	3009	2490	2510	152	67843	0	2
807000	3010	2392	2486	0	67844	0	2
807000	3011	2407	2653	119	67845	0	2
807000	3012	2489	2700	138	67846	0	2
807000	3013	2548	2972	0	67847	0	2
807000	3014	2764	3346	0	67848	0	2
807000	3005	2429	2302	0	67849	0	3
807000	3015	2396	3144	0	67849	0	2
807000	3016	2392	3034	0	131072	0	1
807000	3017	2452	2984	53	131073	0	4
807000	3018	2499	2847	38	131074	0	2
807000	3019	2370	2872	55	131075	0	2
807000	3020	2329	2955	52	131076	0	4
807000	3021	2173	2997	0	131077	0	4
807000	3022	1915	2846	0	131078	0	1
807000	3023	2116	3159	0	131079	0	2
807000	3024	2005	3044	0	131080	0	1
807000	3026	1872	2935	0	131328	0	1
807000	3027	1818	3040	0	131329	0	1
807000	3028	1901	2987	0	131330	0	1
807000	3029	1969	3143	0	131331	0	2
807000	3030	1925	2960	0	131332	0	1
807000	3031	1824	3050	0	131333	0	1
807000	3032	1849	3097	0	131334	0	1
807000	4000	2146	1917	0	131335	0	1
807000	4001	2124	1915	0	131336	0	1
807000	3025	1891	2895	0	131337	0	1
807000	4003	1991	1923	0	131584	0	1
807000	4004	2113	2008	0	131585	0	2
807000	4005	2088	1949	0	131586	0	1
807000	4006	2048	2020	0	131587	0	1
807000	4007	1975	2510	1573	131588	0	1
807000	4008	2036	2499	0	131589	0	2
807000	4009	1988	2638	0	131590	0	4
807000	4010	1963	2711	0	131591	0	2
807000	4011	2071	2306	0	131592	0	1
807000	4002	2074	1919	0	131593	0	2
807000	4013	2008	2580	0	131840	0	2
807000	4014	1934	2797	0	131841	0	2
807000	4015	2090	2239	0	131842	0	2

### **Appendices—Appendix B Part 2**

<b>Tract</b>	<b>Block</b>	<b>Locx</b>	<b>Locy</b>	<b>Pop</b>	<b>Block color add</b>	<b>Block check</b>	<b>Original district</b>
807000	4016	2172	2129	19	131843	0	4
807000	4017	2176	2015	0	131844	0	4
807000	4018	2249	1972	0	131845	0	2
807000	4019	2344	2058	24	131846	0	2
807000	4020	2378	2039	0	131847	0	1
807000	4021	2167	2198	50	131848	0	1
807000	4012	2064	2415	0	131849	0	1
807000	4023	2305	2136	28	132096	0	2
807000	4024	2265	2053	22	132097	0	2
807000	4025	2146	2303	52	132098	0	4
807000	4026	2141	2256	18	132099	0	2
807000	4027	2244	2287	15	132100	0	4
807000	4028	2303	2297	28	132101	0	3
807000	4029	2381	2308	38	132102	0	3
807000	4030	2399	2216	16	132103	0	2
807000	4031	2416	2139	0	132104	0	2
807000	4022	2296	2212	31	132105	0	2
807000	4033	2218	2479	89	132352	0	2
807000	4034	2270	2369	55	132353	0	2
807000	4035	2376	2398	13	132354	0	2
807000	4036	2328	2467	3	132355	0	2
807000	4037	2316	2556	26	132356	0	2
807000	4038	2369	2573	4	132357	0	1
807000	4039	2399	2571	12	132358	0	1
807000	4040	2166	2673	93	132359	0	3
807000	4041	2209	2761	254	132360	0	4
807000	4032	2113	2469	75	132361	0	1
807000	4043	2151	2805	710	132608	0	2
807000	4044	1992	2722	0	132609	0	2
807000	4045	1997	2816	71	132610	0	2
807000	4046	2047	2759	16	132611	0	3
807000	4047	2259	2622	48	132612	0	2
807102	1000	2139	3955	7	132613	0	3
807102	1001	2159	3854	47	132614	0	3
807102	1002	2134	3922	68	132615	0	3
807102	1003	2181	3779	56	132616	0	3
807000	4042	2017	2658	0	132617	0	2
807102	1005	1984	3912	0	132864	0	3
807102	1006	1879	3762	98	132865	0	3
807102	1007	1956	3807	32	132866	0	3

### **Appendices—Appendix B Part 2**

<b>Tract</b>	<b>Block</b>	<b>Locx</b>	<b>Locy</b>	<b>Pop</b>	<b>Block color add</b>	<b>Block check</b>	<b>Original district</b>
807102	1008	2075	3752	24	132867	0	3
807102	1009	2055	3825	39	132868	0	3
807102	1010	2038	3885	26	132869	0	3
807102	1011	1770	3966	140	132870	0	3
807102	1012	1734	3995	32	132871	0	3
807102	1013	1742	3839	33	132872	0	3
807102	1004	2027	3945	43	132873	0	3
807102	1015	1703	3765	0	133120	0	3
807102	1016	1703	3933	0	133121	0	3
807102	1017	1703	3878	0	133122	0	3
807102	1018	1703	4060	0	133123	0	3
807102	1019	1703	3992	0	133124	0	3
807102	1020	1922	3876	60	133125	0	3
807102	1021	1845	3851	20	133126	0	3
807102	1022	1825	4135	78	133127	0	3
807102	1023	1904	4074	30	133128	0	3
807102	1014	1703	3825	0	133129	0	3
807102	1025	1897	3943	55	133376	0	3
807102	1026	2097	4092	39	133377	0	3
807102	1027	2003	4070	62	133378	0	3
807102	1028	1994	4180	0	133379	0	3
807102	1029	1821	4211	100	133380	0	3
807102	1030	1701	4202	0	133381	0	3
807102	1031	1702	4129	0	133382	0	3
807102	1032	1946	4221	0	133383	0	3
807102	1033	2032	4230	29	133384	0	3
807102	1024	1891	4006	51	133385	0	3
807102	1034	1694	4213	0	133385	0	3
807102	2000	2644	3617	0	196608	0	3
807102	2001	2527	3838	0	196609	0	3
807102	2002	2410	3683	0	196610	0	3
807102	2003	2464	3757	0	196611	0	3
807102	2004	2475	3695	0	196612	0	3
807102	2005	2482	3646	0	196613	0	3
807102	2006	2391	3400	0	196614	0	3
807102	2007	2361	3586	0	196615	0	3
807102	2009	2608	3866	0	196616	0	3
807200	3001	1561	3046	0	196617	0	3
807102	2011	2518	3874	0	196864	0	3
807102	2012	2402	4097	0	196865	0	3

### **Appendices—Appendix B Part 2**

<b>Tract</b>	<b>Block</b>	<b>Locx</b>	<b>Locy</b>	<b>Pop</b>	<b>Block color add</b>	<b>Block check</b>	<b>Original district</b>
807102	2013	2504	4061	0	196866	0	3
807102	2014	2444	3787	0	196867	0	3
807102	2015	2401	3729	0	196868	0	3
807102	2016	2383	3670	0	196869	0	3
807102	2017	2349	3637	0	196870	0	3
807102	2018	2362	3690	0	196871	0	3
807102	2019	2234	3897	0	196872	0	3
807102	2010	2650	3940	0	196873	0	3
807102	2021	2339	3810	0	197120	0	3
807102	2022	2351	3747	0	197121	0	3
807102	2023	2375	3767	0	197122	0	3
807102	2024	2282	4192	0	197123	0	3
807102	2029	2510	4087	0	197124	0	3
807102	2030	2558	4006	0	197125	0	3
807102	2031	2509	4000	0	197126	0	3
807102	2032	2487	3951	0	197127	0	3
807102	2075	1903	4265	0	197128	0	3
807102	2020	2254	4016	0	197129	0	3
807102	2106	2427	3723	0	197376	0	3
807200	1000	2294	3328	0	197377	0	2
807200	1001	2231	3372	0	197378	0	3
807200	1002	2216	3435	101	197379	0	4
807200	1003	1954	3373	967	197380	0	3
807200	1004	1901	3180	0	197381	0	3
807200	1005	1880	3211	0	197382	0	4
807200	1006	1771	3397	336	197383	0	4
807200	1007	1820	3300	0	197384	0	4
807102	2076	1697	4252	0	197385	0	3
807200	1009	1717	3298	0	197632	0	4
807200	1010	1706	3388	0	197633	0	4
807200	1011	1704	3466	0	197634	0	4
807200	1012	1753	3481	56	197635	0	4
807200	1013	1836	3530	157	197636	0	2
807200	1014	1904	3546	85	197637	0	2
807200	1015	1931	3457	104	197638	0	2
807200	1016	1977	3470	96	197639	0	3
807200	1017	2044	3489	148	197640	0	3
807200	1008	1738	3219	0	197641	0	4
807200	1019	2232	3545	101	197888	0	4
807200	1020	2288	3618	0	197889	0	4

### **Appendices—Appendix B Part 2**

<b>Tract</b>	<b>Block</b>	<b>Locx</b>	<b>Locy</b>	<b>Pop</b>	<b>Block color add</b>	<b>Block check</b>	<b>Original district</b>
807200	1021	1908	3591	0	197890	0	2
807200	1022	1950	3563	66	197891	0	3
807200	1023	2017	3583	101	197892	0	3
807200	1024	2109	3609	104	197893	0	3
807200	1025	2192	3662	94	197894	0	3
807200	1026	2238	3723	25	197895	0	4
807200	1027	1746	3528	0	197896	0	4
807200	1018	2136	3516	60	197897	0	2
807200	1029	1703	3591	0	198144	0	4
807200	1030	1736	3596	31	198145	0	3
807200	1031	1809	3622	49	198146	0	3
807200	1032	1878	3642	36	198147	0	2
807200	1033	1923	3656	45	198148	0	4
807200	1034	1988	3667	70	198149	0	4
807200	1035	2085	3684	6	198150	0	4
807200	1036	1873	3707	38	198151	0	3
807200	1037	1765	3696	0	198152	0	4
807200	1028	1703	3516	0	198153	0	3
807200	1039	2354	3416	0	198400	0	2
807200	2002	1480	2111	0	198401	0	2
807200	2003	1281	2275	0	198402	0	2
807200	2004	1267	2155	0	198403	0	2
807200	2005	1194	2132	0	198404	0	3
807200	2006	1084	2248	0	198405	0	3
807200	2007	946	2473	0	198406	0	3
807200	2008	1298	2531	1500	198407	0	3
807200	2009	988	2575	1632	198408	0	3
807200	1038	1702	3688	6	198409	0	3
807200	2011	1218	2814	0	198656	0	3
807200	2012	1291	2707	1790	198657	0	3
807200	2013	1390	2703	0	198658	0	3
807200	2014	992	2702	0	198659	0	4
807200	2015	937	2668	0	198660	0	2
807200	2016	859	2826	0	198661	0	3
807200	2017	735	2854	0	198662	0	4
807200	2018	691	2979	0	198663	0	3
807200	2019	1110	2971	0	198664	0	2
807200	2010	1085	2529	0	198665	0	3
807200	2021	646	3094	0	198912	0	4
807200	2022	733	3113	0	198913	0	3

### **Appendices—Appendix B Part 2**

<b>Tract</b>	<b>Block</b>	<b>Locx</b>	<b>Locy</b>	<b>Pop</b>	<b>Block color add</b>	<b>Block check</b>	<b>Original district</b>
807200	2023	894	3067	2	198914	0	3
807200	2024	1066	3137	0	198915	0	2
807200	2025	1166	3064	0	198916	0	2
807200	2026	998	2887	0	198917	0	4
807200	2027	609	3136	0	198918	0	4
807200	2028	596	3098	0	198919	0	4
807200	3000	1850	2930	0	198920	0	4
807200	2020	1398	2957	0	198921	0	3
807200	3002	1408	3220	793	262144	0	4
807200	3003	1584	3135	0	262145	0	4
807200	3004	1451	3266	0	262146	0	4
807200	3005	1565	3253	0	262147	0	4
807200	3006	1586	3392	0	262148	0	4
807200	3007	1679	3166	0	262149	0	4
807200	3008	1643	3135	0	262150	0	4
807200	3009	1741	3112	0	262151	0	4
807200	3012	1198	3445	0	262400	0	4
807200	3013	1511	3500	553	262401	0	4
807200	3014	1608	3499	0	262402	0	4
807200	3015	1670	3503	12	262403	0	4
807200	3016	1586	3576	631	262404	0	2
807200	3017	1406	3561	224	262405	0	4
807200	3018	1480	3631	79	262406	0	4
807200	3019	1628	3689	0	262407	0	3
807200	3010	1336	3436	1272	262408	0	3
807200	3011	1154	3308	411	262409	0	4
807200	3022	1545	3710	95	262656	0	2
807200	4004	1454	1832	808	262657	0	4
807200	4005	1546	1864	0	262658	0	3
807200	4006	1452	1951	0	262659	0	4
807200	4009	1464	1980	0	262660	0	3
807200	4010	1493	1995	0	262661	0	4
807200	4012	1515	2068	1	262662	0	4
807200	4016	1802	2143	66	262663	0	2
807200	3020	1599	3690	0	262664	0	3
807200	3021	1531	3670	0	262665	0	2
807200	4022	1584	2181	0	262912	0	3
807200	4024	1663	2575	0	262913	0	4
807200	4025	1578	2380	0	262914	0	4
807200	4027	1216	2019	119	262915	0	2

### **Appendices—Appendix B Part 2**

<b>Tract</b>	<b>Block</b>	<b>Locx</b>	<b>Locy</b>	<b>Pop</b>	<b>Block color add</b>	<b>Block check</b>	<b>Original district</b>
807200	4028	1156	2039	108	262916	0	3
807200	4029	1092	2093	70	262917	0	3
807200	4030	1060	1983	39	262918	0	4
807200	4031	1013	1977	170	262919	0	2
807200	4019	1822	2456	0	262920	0	2
807200	4020	1701	2763	0	262921	0	4
807200	4034	105	1956	0	263168	0	2
807200	4035	254	2179	0	263169	0	4
807200	4036	755	1680	1	263170	0	3
807200	4037	701	1703	0	263171	0	3
807200	4038	1638	2675	0	263172	0	3
807200	4039	1602	2775	0	263173	0	3
807200	4040	1641	2898	0	263174	0	3
807200	4041	1833	2876	0	263175	0	3
807200	4032	603	2242	123	263176	0	4
807200	4033	201	2112	0	263177	0	4
807200	4044	1565	3011	0	263424	0	3
807301	1000	2263	558	0	263425	0	4
807301	1011	2226	701	0	263426	0	4
807301	1012	2248	572	0	263427	0	4
807301	1013	2345	641	0	263428	0	4
807301	1014	2067	705	0	263429	0	4
807301	2006	1274	1639	28	263430	0	4
807301	2010	1121	1731	187	263431	0	4
807200	4042	562	2784	0	263432	0	3
807200	4043	227	2188	0	263433	0	3
807301	2013	990	1337	88	263680	0	4
807301	2014	899	1323	73	263681	0	4
807301	2015	845	1350	73	263682	0	4
807301	2016	792	1430	47	263683	0	4
807301	2017	730	1291	45	263684	0	4
807301	2018	713	1455	38	263685	0	4
807301	2019	905	1746	85	263686	0	4
807301	2021	1523	1962	0	263687	0	4
807301	2011	1125	1299	126	263688	0	4
807301	2012	816	1525	297	263689	0	4
807301	2025	1002	1748	61	263936	0	4
807301	2026	1084	1758	33	263937	0	4
807301	2027	758	1359	57	263938	0	4
807305	1016	305	1736	0	263939	0	4

### **Appendices—Appendix B Part 2**

<b>Tract</b>	<b>Block</b>	<b>Locx</b>	<b>Locy</b>	<b>Pop</b>	<b>Block color add</b>	<b>Block check</b>	<b>Original district</b>
807305	1028	453	1741	0	263940	0	4
807305	1029	402	1725	0	263941	0	4
807404	2129	2992	579	0	263942	0	1
807404	2130	3207	430	154	263943	0	1
807301	2023	1141	1452	80	263944	0	4
807301	2024	857	1217	168	263945	0	4
807404	2133	3098	507	106	264192	0	1
807404	2134	2573	533	0	264193	0	1
807404	2135	2667	434	0	264194	0	1
807404	2136	2618	414	0	264195	0	1
807404	2137	2533	385	488	264196	0	1
807404	2138	2542	433	0	264197	0	1
807404	2141	2599	593	0	264198	0	1
807404	2142	2403	588	0	264199	0	1
807404	2131	3180	480	65	264200	0	1
807404	2132	2930	456	187	264201	0	1
807404	2145	2508	296	0	264448	0	1
807405	3045	2317	297	772	264449	0	4
807405	3046	2415	371	0	264450	0	4
807405	3047	2478	261	9	264451	0	4
807200	4015	1670	2137	0	264452	0	2
806900	3004	3315	810	0	264453	0	1
806900	3005	3362	843	0	264454	0	1
807200	2008A	1076	2613	0	264455	0	2
807200	4007A	1900	2690	0	264456	0	2
807200	4019A	1790	2740	0	264457	0	2
807404	2143	2418	522	0	327680	0	1
807404	2144	2448	411	0	327681	0	1
807000	2000A	2215	1175	435	327682	0	4
807200	3013A	1513	3482	305	327683	0	4
807200	3002A	1490	3430	911	327684	0	4
807200	3002B	1620	3440	268	327685	0	4
807200	3010A	1340	3500	502	327686	0	4
807000	4007A	2040	2365	0	327687	0	4
807000	4007B	1900	2700	0	327688	0	4

## ***Appendices—Appendix C Part 1***

### **Appendix C Part 1: Memo Describing the Redistricting Resolution (Redistricting Charge), 10-R-31.Amended**

The following memo explains the amended resolution charge to the Redistricting Commission.

---



#### MEMORANDUM

TO: Redistricting Commission  
Suellen Ferguson, City Attorney  
Elisa Vitale, Planning  
Chantal Cotton, Assistant to the City Manager

FROM: Yvette Allen, Assistant City Clerk

DATE: April 14, 2011

SUBJECT: Resolution 10-R-31.Amended - Resolution Of The Mayor And Council Of The City Of College Park, Maryland, To Establish A City Redistricting Commission To Review And Recommend The Appropriate Reapportionment Of The City Council Districts And To Formulate The Charge To The Commission

Please find attached a signed copy of Resolution 10-R-31.Amended establishing a City Redistricting Commission. This Resolution became effective on Tuesday, April 12, 2011. Please retain a copy for your files.

## ***Appendices—Appendix C Part 1***

### **Appendix C Part 1: Redistricting Resolution (Redistricting Charge), 10-R-31.Amended**

The following resolution is the amended charge to the Redistricting Commission.

---

#### **AMENDED RESOLUTION 10-R-31**

#### **RESOLUTION OF THE MAYOR AND COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF COLLEGE PARK, MARYLAND, TO ESTABLISH A CITY REDISTRICTING COMMISSION TO REVIEW AND RECOMMEND THE APPROPRIATE REAPPORTIONMENT OF THE CITY COUNCIL DISTRICTS AND TO FORMULATE THE CHARGE TO THE COMMISSION**

**WHEREAS**, the Charter of the City of College Park requires the City to divide itself into four (4) councilmanic districts with two (2) council members elected from each of these four (4) districts; and

**WHEREAS**, the City was apportioned into the current four councilmanic districts in 1991; and

**WHEREAS**, § C2-2, “Districts” of the Charter of the City of College Park requires the City to review its council districts not less than once every ten years, as soon as feasible after the decennial federal census figures are published; and

**WHEREAS**, the decennial federal census has been taken and the figures are expected to be available in the spring of 2011; and

**WHEREAS**, it is now appropriate to review the council districts; and

**WHEREAS**, to provide for maximum citizen participation in the redistricting process, the Mayor and Council have decided to appoint a redistricting citizens’ commission to review the councilmanic districts and make recommendations to the Mayor and City Council.

**NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED** that:

1. An eleven (11) member Redistricting Commission shall be appointed, consisting of eight (8) Commission members, one to be appointed by each Council member, with each appointed member residing in that Council member’s district; two (2) Commission members to be appointed by the Mayor; and one (1) Commission member appointed by the University of Maryland Student Government Association. All members must be City residents.
2. The Commission members shall be forthwith designated by those having the right to appoint them, and the Commission shall hold its first meeting on or before February 8, 2011, to:

- a. Meet with the Mayor and City Council;
  - b. Officially receive the charge to the Commission contained in this Resolution; and
  - c. Elect a Chairperson.
3. The Commission shall conduct its meetings in accordance with Roberts' Rules of Order, except that a quorum shall only require the attendance of four (4) Commission members plus the Chairperson or the Chairperson's alternate, who shall be selected by the Commission.
  4. The Commission shall commence its work as soon as possible and, with such support of the City Attorney and other City staff personnel as determined by the City Manager, shall develop the redistricting plans mentioned below. City staff support may include an additional temporary staff member employed by the City Manager to perform data support functions as determined by the Commission.
  5. The City Manager is hereby authorized to expend up to \$5,000.00 in support of the Commission. If this sum is insufficient, the City Manager will report same to the Mayor and Council for further action.
  6. It is anticipated that the report and recommendation of the Commission will be received by the City Council on May 31, 2011, and that action will be taken thereon on or before July 12, 2011.

CHARGE TO THE COMMISSION:

- A. The Commission shall review the combination of population and voters mandated by § C2-2 to determine whether reapportionment is necessary.
- B. If the Commission determines that reapportionment is necessary, it shall hold public hearing(s) to receive information and views from the public on the factors to be considered in the reapportionment of the four (4) districts with two (2) council members representing each district.
- C. The Commission shall develop at least three (3) redistricting plans for submission to the Mayor and Council by May 31, 2011. The Commission will proceed developing reapportionment plans based only on the four (4) district, two (2) council member configuration.
- D. Any reapportionment recommendation shall be based ON THE CRITERION OF THE SUM OF POPULATION AND ACTUAL VOTERS. THE REAPPORTIONMENT OF DISTRICTS SHALL ENSURE THAT THE CRITERION IN EACH DISTRICT IS SUBSTANTIALLY EQUAL TO THE

CRITERION IN EVERY OTHER DISTRICT. POPULATION CONSISTS OF THE RESIDENTS OF COLLEGE PARK COUNTED IN THE MOST RECENT DECENNIAL CENSUS PRECEDING THE REDISTRICTING. IN ADDITION, IF THE COMMISSION HAS OBJECTIVE EVIDENCE ABOUT THEIR NUMBERS, THE COMMISSION MAY AT ITS DISCRETION INCLUDE:

- (A) RESIDENTS WHO WERE ERRONEOUSLY OMITTED FROM THE CENSUS COUNT;
- (B) RESIDENTS OF STRUCTURES THAT HAVE BEEN BUILT SINCE THE CENSUS COUNT;
- (C) RESIDENTS OF PROPERTIES ANNEXED INTO THE CITY AFTER THE COMPLETION OF THE MOST RECENT CENSUS.

ACTUAL VOTERS CONSIST OF THOSE COLLEGE PARK RESIDENTS REGISTERED TO VOTE AS OF FEBRUARY 1 OF THE YEAR THAT REAPPORTIONMENT OCCURS WHO HAVE VOTED IN EITHER:

- (a) THE IMMEDIATELY PRECEDING STATEWIDE ELECTION, OR
- (b) THE IMMEDIATELY PRECEDING CITYWIDE ELECTION.

~~[on a combination of the population according to the 2010 federal census figures (“Census Population”), as such figures may be permissibly modified by annexations to the City up to March 1 2011, and the number of residents of College Park, Maryland, registered to vote in College Park on the election records of Prince George’s County as of March 1 2011, and who voted in the immediately preceding statewide election or the immediately preceding regular citywide election, (“Registered Voters”), such that the sum of the Census Population, as modified, and Registered Voters in each district is equal to the sum in every other district with regard to the equality of each basis standing alone within any district.]~~ When apportioning the districts, the Commission shall also consider:

- a. Commonality of local economic and social interests;
- b. Preservation of the core of existing council districts;


- c. Geographic compactness of the districts;
- d. Respect for neighborhood; and
- e. Applicable Federal and State Constitutional and statutory requirements including the Federal Voting Rights Act 42 U.S.C. § 1973.


**ADOPTED** by the Mayor and City Council of the City of College Park, Maryland at a regular meeting on the 12th day of April, 2011.

**EFFECTIVE** the 12th day of April, 2011.


**WITNESS:**

**THE CITY OF COLLEGE PARK,  
MARYLAND**

  
Janeen S. Miller, City Clerk, CMC

  
Andrew M. Fellows, Mayor

**APPROVED AS TO FORM  
AND LEGAL SUFFICIENCY:**

  
Suellen M. Ferguson, City Attorney

## ***Appendices—Appendix C Part 2***

### **Appendix C Part 2: Redistricting Resolution (Redistricting Charge), 2010**

The following resolution is the original charge to the Redistricting Commission.

---

**RESOLUTION 10-R-31**  
**RESOLUTION OF THE MAYOR AND COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF COLLEGE PARK, MARYLAND,**  
**TO ESTABLISH A CITY REDISTRICTING COMMISSION TO REVIEW AND RECOMMEND THE**  
**APPROPRIATE REAPPORTIONMENT OF THE CITY COUNCIL DISTRICTS AND TO FORMULATE**  
**THE CHARGE TO THE COMMISSION**

**WHEREAS**, the Charter of the City of College Park requires the City to divide itself into four (4) councilmanic districts with two (2) council members elected from each of these four (4) districts; and

**WHEREAS**, the City was apportioned into the current four councilmanic districts in 1991; and

**WHEREAS**, § C2-2, “Districts” of the Charter of the City of College Park requires the City to review its council districts not less than once every ten years, as soon as feasible after the decennial federal census figures are published; and

**WHEREAS**, the decennial federal census has been taken and the figures are expected to be available in the spring of 2011; and

**WHEREAS**, it is now appropriate to review the council districts; and

**WHEREAS**, to provide for maximum citizen participation in the redistricting process, the Mayor and Council have decided to appoint a redistricting citizens’ commission to review the councilmanic districts and make recommendations to the Mayor and City Council.

**NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED** that:

1. An eleven (11) member Redistricting Commission shall be appointed, consisting of eight (8) Commission members, one to be appointed by each Council member, with each appointed member residing in that Council member’s district; two (2) Commission members to be appointed by the Mayor; and one (1) Commission member appointed by the University of Maryland Student Government Association. All members must be City residents.
2. The Commission members shall be forthwith designated by those having the right to appoint them, and the Commission shall hold its first meeting on or before February 8, 2011, to:

- a. Meet with the Mayor and City Council;
  - b. Officially receive the charge to the Commission contained in this Resolution; and
  - c. Elect a Chairperson.
2. The Commission shall conduct its meetings in accordance with Roberts' Rules of Order, except that a quorum shall only require the attendance of four (4) Commission members plus the Chairperson or the Chairperson's alternate, who shall be selected by the Commission.
  3. The Commission shall commence its work as soon as possible and, with such support of the City Attorney and other City staff personnel as determined by the City Manager, shall develop the redistricting plans mentioned below. City staff support may include an additional temporary staff member employed by the City Manager to perform data support functions as determined by the Commission.
  4. The City Manager is hereby authorized to expend up to \$5,000.00 in support of the Commission. If this sum is insufficient, the City Manager will report same to the Mayor and Council for further action.

It is anticipated that the report and recommendation of the Commission will be received by the City Council on May 31, 2011, and that action will be taken thereon on or before July 12, 2011.

**CHARGE TO THE COMMISSION:**

The Commission shall review the combination of population and voters mandated by § C2-2 to determine whether reapportionment is necessary.

If the Commission determines that reapportionment is necessary, it shall hold public hearing(s) to receive information and views from the public on the factors to be considered in the reapportionment of the four (4) districts with two (2) council members representing each district.

The Commission shall develop at least three (3) redistricting plans for submission to the Mayor and Council by May 31, 2011. The Commission will proceed developing reapportionment plans based only on the four (4) district, two (2) council member configuration.

Any reapportionment recommendation shall be based on a combination of the population according to the 2010 federal census figures ("Census Population"), as such figures may be permissibly modified by annexations to the City up to March 1, 2011, and the number of residents of College Park, Maryland, registered to vote in College

Park on the election records of Prince George's County as of March 1, 2011, and who voted in the immediately preceding statewide election or the immediately preceding regular citywide election, ("Registered Voters"), such that the sum of the Census Population, as modified, and Registered Voters in each district is equal to the sum in every other district with regard to the equality of each basis standing alone within any district. When apportioning the districts, the Commission shall also consider:


- Commonality of local economic and social interests;
- Preservation of the core of existing council districts;
- Geographic compactness of the districts;
- Respect for neighborhood; and
- Applicable Federal and State Constitutional and statutory requirements including the Federal Voting Rights Act 42 U.S.C. § 1973.


**ADOPTED** by the Mayor and City Council of the City of College Park, Maryland at a regular meeting on the 9th day of November, 2010.

**EFFECTIVE** the 9th day of November, 2010.


**WITNESS:**

**THE CITY OF COLLEGE PARK,  
MARYLAND**

  
Janeen S. Miller, City Clerk, CMC

  
Andrew M. Fellows, Mayor

**APPROVED AS TO FORM  
AND LEGAL SUFFICIENCY:**

  
Suellen M. Ferguson, City Attorney

## ***Appendices—Appendix C Part 3***

### **Appendix C Part 3: City of College Park, Maryland Code**

§ C2-1

§ C2-2

#### **ARTICLE II**

#### **Boundaries and Districts**

[Amended 11-17-1959; 6-13-1967 by Res. No. 67-R-8, effective 8-2-1967; 9-26-1967 by Res. No. 67-R-11, effective 11-11-1967; 12-8-1970 by Res. No. 70-R-25, effective 1-27-1971; 5-9-1972 by Res. No. 72-R-13; 3-28-1978 by Res. No. 78-CR-1; 2-22-1983 by Res. No. 83-CR-2; 9-27-1983 by Res. No. 83-CR-6; 1-9-1991 by Res. No. 91-CR-1; 3-28-1995 by Res. No. 94-CR-1; 4-14-1998 by Res. No. 93-CR-2]

§ C2-1. Corporate limits.

A copy of the courses and distances describing the corporate boundaries of the City of College Park, as amended from time to time, shall be maintained in the office of the City Manager. The City Manager is hereby directed to file or record the courses and distances showing the corporate limits of the City and any amendments thereto with the Clerk of the Circuit Court of Prince George's County, the Commissioner of the Land Office, and the Director of the Department of Legislative Reference to maintain said description in a suitable book or place, properly indexed and reasonably available for public inspection during normal business hours.

§ C2-2. Districts. [Amended 8-14-2001 by Res. No. 01-CR-1; 1-14-2003 by Res. No. 03-CR-1]

A. By enactment of an ordinance the City of College Park shall apportion itself into four (4) council districts. The City shall review its council districts not less than once every ten (10) years as soon as feasible after the decennial federal census figures are published. There shall be two (2) council members elected from each district. The qualified voters in each of the districts shall be entitled to vote for two (2) candidates.

B. As used in this section, the following terms shall have the following meaning:

- (1) **POPULATION** — Population consists of the residents of College Park counted in the most recent decennial census preceding the redistricting. In addition, if the City has objective evidence about their numbers, the City may at its discretion include:
  - (a) Residents who were erroneously omitted from the census count;
  - (b) Residents of structures that have been built since the census count;
  - (c) Residents of properties annexed into the City after the completion of the most recent census.
- (2) **ACTUAL VOTERS** — Actual voters consist of those College Park residents registered to vote as of February 1 of the year that reapportionment occurs who have voted in either:
  - (a) The immediately preceding statewide election; or
  - (b) The immediately preceding citywide election.
- (3) **CRITERION** — The criterion is the sum of population and actual voters.

## **Appendices—Appendix C Part 3**

§ C2-2

CHARTER

§ C3-2

- C. The reapportionment of districts shall ensure that the criterion in each district is substantially equal to the criterion in every other district.
- D. When reapportioning the districts, the City shall also consider commonality of local economic and social interests, preservation of the cores of prior districts, geographic compactness of the districts and respect for neighborhoods. If a commission is appointed to participate in any reapportionment, at least one (1) member thereof shall be designated by the University of Maryland Student Government Association.

### **ARTICLE III Mayor and Council**

§ C3-1. Elected officers of the City. **[Amended 6-13-1967 by Res. No. 67-R-8, effective 8-2-1967; 5-8-1973 by Res. No. 73-CR-1; 4-14-1987 by Res. No. 87-CR-1, effective 6-3-1987; 6-10-1997 by Res. No. 97-CR-2; 5-22-2007 by Res. No. 07-CR-04; 7-30-2007 by Res. No. 07-CR-05]**

The government of said City shall be vested in a Mayor and eight district Council members, two from each of the several districts of the City, and such other officers as are hereinafter designated or shall be appointed by the Mayor and Council. Each officer appointed shall continue to hold office for such term or terms as the Mayor and Council shall determine. The Mayor shall be elected by the combined vote of the electorate of the City and the several district Council members shall be elected by the voters within their respective districts. At the time of taking office, the Mayor shall have attained the age of 25 years, and each member of the Council shall have attained the age of 21 years. Each elective officer must be a citizen of the United States, a resident of the State of Maryland and registered voter in the State of Maryland and have been a registered voter in the City for at least one year immediately preceding the date of election and shall continuously reside in the City during his/her term of office; each district Council member must reside in the district from which he/she is elected; and each officer shall retain throughout his/her respective term of office all the qualifications necessary for his/her election, and the failure to retain all of such qualifications shall ipso facto cause a forfeiture of office.

§ C3-2. Holding multiple offices. **[Amended 1-26-1988 by Res. No. 88-CR-1]**

Neither the Mayor nor any Councilperson shall hold any other office under the corporation during his/her term of office, nor shall he/she, during his/her term of office, hold any membership in any citizens' committee, commission, board or operational authority which is appointed by the Mayor and/or Council of College Park.

## ***Appendices—Appendix C Part 4***

**Appendix C Part 4: Amendment to the City of College Park, Maryland Code**

**11-CR-01**

**CHARTER RESOLUTION**  
**OF THE MAYOR AND COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF COLLEGE PARK, MARY-**  
**LAND, TO AMEND ARTICLE II, “BOUNDARIES AND DISTRICTS”, §C2-2,**  
**“DISTRICTS” TO ALLOW THE REDISTRICTING COMMISSION TO USE OBJEC-**  
**TIVE DATA TO ADJUST CENSUS COUNTS FOR PURPOSES OF REDISTRICTING**

A Charter Resolution of the Mayor and Council of the City of College Park, Maryland, adopted pursuant to the authority of Article XI-E of the Constitution of Maryland and Article 23A of the Annotated Code of Maryland (1957 edition, as amended).

**WHEREAS**, the Mayor and City Council are required by law to reexamine councilmanic election districts after each decennial census; and

**WHEREAS**, §C2-2, “Districts” of the City Charter sets out the criterion for the reapportionment of the districts; and

**WHEREAS**, the Mayor and City Council are limited by Charter to using only decennial census figures as a source of population figures, which can at the City’s discretion include residents who were erroneously omitted from the census count, residents of structures that have been built since the census count and residents of properties annexed into the City after completion of the most recent census. However, the current definition of population does not specifically include the discretion to recognize that census figures may be erroneous in that they exceed that actual population in a particular census block; and

**WHEREAS**, the Mayor and City Council desire to include in the criterion for reexamining election districts the ability to adjust decennial census counts for an over count of the actual population where reliable population figures may be available from other sources.

**NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED** by the Mayor and Council of the City of College Park, Maryland, that:

**Section 1.** Article II, “Boundaries and Districts,” §C2-2, “Districts” of the City Charter be and is hereby amended as follows:

§C2-2. Districts.

A. \* \* \* \*

B. As used in this section, the following terms shall have the following meaning:

(1) POPULATION — Population consists of the residents of College Park counted in the most recent decennial census preceding the redistricting.

In addition, if the City has objective evidence about their numbers, the City may at its discretion include:

[1] [(a)] Residents who were erroneously omitted from the census count;

[2] [(b)] Residents of structures that have been built since the census count;

[3] [(c)] Residents of properties annexed into the City after the completion of the most recent census.

(B) in addition, if the city has objective evidence upon which to rely, the city may at its discretion adjust a census block count when that count exceeds the actual population in the block.

(2) ACTUAL VOTERS — Actual voters consist of those College Park residents registered to vote as of February 1 of the year that reapportionment occurs who have voted in either:

(a) The immediately preceding statewide election; or

(b) The immediately preceding citywide election.

(3) CRITERION — The criterion is the sum of population and actual voters.

C. through D. \* \* \* \*

**Section 2.** BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that this Charter Resolution is adopted this 10th day of May, 2011, and that the amendment to the Charter of the City of College Park, hereby proposed by this enactment, shall be and become effective upon the fiftieth (50<sup>th</sup>) day after its passage by the City unless petitioned to referendum in accordance with Article 23A §13 of the Annotated Code of Maryland within forty (40) days following its passage. A complete and exact copy of this Charter Resolution shall be posted in the City offices located at 4500 Knox Road, College Park, Maryland for forty (40) days following its passage by the Mayor and Council and a fair summary of the Charter Resolution shall be published in a newspaper having general circulation in the City not less than four (4) times, at weekly intervals, also within the forty (40) day period following its adoption by the City.

**Section 3.** BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that, within ten (10) days after the Charter Resolution hereby enacted becomes effective, either as herein provided or following referendum, the City Manager for the City of College Park shall send separately, by certified mail, return receipt requested, to the Department of Legislative Services, the following information concerning the Charter Resolution: (i) the complete text of this Resolution; (ii) the date of referendum election, if any, held with respect thereto; (iii) the number of votes cast for and against this Resolution by the Council of the City of College Park or in the referendum; and (iv) the effective date of the Charter Resolution.

**Section 4.** BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the City Manager of the City of College Park, Maryland, be, and hereby is specifically enjoined and instructed to carry out the provisions of Sections 2 and 3 as evidence of compliance herewith; and said City Manager

shall cause to be affixed to the minutes of this meeting (i) an appropriate Certificate of Publication of the newspaper in which the fair summary of the Charter Resolution shall have been published; and (ii) return receipts of the mailing referred to in Section 3 and shall further cause to be completed and executed the Municipal Charter or Annexation Resolution Registration Form.

**INTRODUCED** by the Mayor and Council of the City of College Park, Maryland at a regular meeting on the 10<sup>th</sup> day of May 2011.

**ADOPTED** by the Mayor and Council of the City of College Park, Maryland at a regular meeting on the 10<sup>th</sup> day of May 2011.

**EFFECTIVE** the 29<sup>th</sup> day of June, 2011.

**ATTEST:**

Yvette S. Allen  
Janeen S. Miller, CMC, City Clerk

Yvette T. Allen  
Assistant City Clerk

**THE CITY OF COLLEGE PARK,  
MARYLAND**

By [Signature]  
Andrew M. Fellows, Mayor

**APPROVED AS TO FORM AND  
LEGAL SUFFICIENCY:**

[Signature]  
Suellen M. Ferguson, City Attorney

## **Appendices—Appendix D**

### **Appendix D: Redistricting Commission Meeting Minutes**

# **APPROVED MINUTES**

#### **City of College Park Redistricting Commission**

*February 1, 2011*

The meeting was called to order at 7:10 p.m.

#### **Commission Members In attendance**

Jenna Beveridge, David Bransfield, Robert Day, Chris Dullnig, Maxine Gross, Alan Hew, John Krouse, Cynthia Lollar, and Tim Miller

#### **Staff Members In attendance**

Chantal Cotton, Suellen Ferguson, and Elisa Vitale

#### **Charge**

Suellen Ferguson, City Attorney, briefly outlined the Redistricting Commission's charge. The Commission is tasked with reviewing the combination of population and voters in the City of College Park, Maryland, to determine whether reapportionment is necessary, which is likely, given recent development activity in the City. If the Commission determines that reapportionment is necessary, it should develop three plans based on the existing four district and two council member configuration. The Commission should strive to develop plan options such that the sum of the Census population and registered voters in each district is equal to the sum in every other district with regard to the equality of each basis standing alone within any district.

The Commission is also charged with considering the following five factors in any proposed reapportionment plan: commonality of local economic and social interests; preservation of the core of existing council districts; geographic compactness of the districts; respect for neighborhood; and applicable Federal and State Constitutional and statutory requirements including the Federal Voting Rights Act 42 U.S.C. § 1973.

#### **Timeline**

The Charge to the Commission states that the three redistricting plans shall be submitted to the City Council before May 31, 2011. The Mayor Council will take action on or before July 12, 2011. The timeline is based on completing the work before the November election.

#### **Data**

Staff will provide an updated City boundary map that reflects annexation activity that has taken place since the last redistricting effort. Elisa Vitale, staff, stated that the Census redistricting data will be available before March 31, 2011. **Staff will request election records from the Prince George's County Board of Elections to determine the number of College Park residents registered to vote in College Park as of March 1, 2011, and who voted in the immediately preceding statewide election or the immediately preceding regular citywide election.** Staff will work with the City Board of Election Supervisors to collect any additional information, as necessary.

#### **Software**

Chris Dullnig stated that he developed a software program to assist with the prior redistricting effort and that he would again make the software available to the Commission. Mr. Dullnig demonstrated the software and stated **that he would be making some updates to the program to assist with the current redistricting exercise.** Mr. Dull-

nig suggested starting with the existing district configuration and inputting the new Census block and registered voter data as a first step.

**AT 8:00 PM THE COMMISSION ADJOURNED TO THE COUNCIL CHAMBERS TO RECEIVE ITS OFFICIAL CHARGE FROM THE MAYOR AND COUNCIL AND, AT 8:15 PM, RETURNED TO THE LOWER LEVEL CONFERENCE ROOM TO ADDRESS THE REMAINING AGENDA ITEMS FOR THE EVENING.**

**Meeting Schedule**

Commission members set the meeting schedule for the second and fourth Wednesday of every month from 7 to 9 PM, with the first meeting taking place on February 9, 2011. All meetings will be held at City Hall in either the Council Chambers or Lower Level Conference Room.

**Election**

The Commission voted unanimously to elect Robert Day as Chair and Tim Miller as Vice Chair.

**Next Meeting**

The next meeting will be held Wednesday, February 9, 2011, at 7:00 PM in the Lower Level Conference Room of City Hall.

Meeting was adjourned at 8:30 PM.

**Contact Information**

District	Name	Address	Phone	Cell Phone	E-mail
1	John Krouse	9709 53 <sup>rd</sup> AVE	301-345-1242	443-992-6953	<a href="mailto:johnkrouse@yahoo.com">johnkrouse@yahoo.com</a>
1	Tim Miller (Vice Chair)	5119 Niagara PL		202-321-7723	<a href="mailto:TaMiller22@gmail.com">TaMiller22@gmail.com</a>
2	Jenna Beveridge	4724 Branchville RD		202-294-4141	<a href="mailto:Beveridge.Jenna@gmail.com">Beveridge.Jenna@gmail.com</a>
2	Maxine Gross	5011 Navahoe ST	301-345-3365		<a href="mailto:maxine.gross@att.net">maxine.gross@att.net</a>
2	Chris Dullnig	8400 Rhode Island AVE	301-345-9477		<a href="mailto:Chris.Dullnig@gmail.com">Chris.Dullnig@gmail.com</a>
3	Robert Day (Chair)	7410 Baylor AVE	301-482-7894	301-741-1962	<a href="mailto:robwday@gmail.com">robwday@gmail.com</a>
3	Cynthia Lollar	4607 Fordham RD	301-864-0028	240-687-3974	<a href="mailto:clollar@verizon.net">clollar@verizon.net</a>
3	Sean O'Donnell	7006 Wake Forest DR		240-720-5350	<a href="mailto:sean-odonnell@yahoo.com">sean-odonnell@yahoo.com</a>
4	Alan Hew	9118 Autoville DR	301-474-3790	301-404-7519	<a href="mailto:alanhew@gmail.com">alanhew@gmail.com</a>
Campus	David Bransfield	6901 Preinkert DR #6610C		732-710-2721	<a href="mailto:Dbrans17@umd.edu">Dbrans17@umd.edu</a>
City Atty	Suellen Ferguson	4500 Knox RD	301-261-2247		<a href="mailto:ferguson@cbknlaw.com">ferguson@cbknlaw.com</a>
Staff	Chantal Cotton	4500 Knox RD	240-487-3501		<a href="mailto:ccotton@collegeparkmd.gov">ccotton@collegeparkmd.gov</a>
Staff	Elisa Vitale	4500 Knox RD	240-487-3538		<a href="mailto:evitale@collegeparkmd.gov">evitale@collegeparkmd.gov</a>

**Meeting Minutes  
City of College Park Redistricting Commission  
February 9, 2011**

**Commission Members in Attendance:**

Robert Day (Chair), Tim Miller (Vice Chair); Jenna Beveridge, David Bransfield, Chris Dullnig, Alan Hew, John Krouse, Cynthia Lollar and Sean O'Donnell

**Staff Members in Attendance:**

Chantal Cotton, Suellen Ferguson and Elisa Vitale

The meeting was called to order at 7:10 p.m.

The Commission reviewed the minutes from the February 1, 2011 meeting. John Krouse moved to approve the minutes. Cynthia Lollar seconded the motion. All were in favor.

The staff and members reintroduced themselves.

Suellen Ferguson spoke about two re-apportionment lawsuits. In the first, DuBois, et al. V. City of College Park et al., the Supreme Court ruled that the Redistricting Commission in an area with a large transient population can look at the voter data and Census data. The districts have to be kept even.

There is a memo from the City Attorney in 2002 which explains the case in a straight forward way.

Ms. Ferguson also found a Settlement Agreement from 1990: Diggs vs. College Park which says to use the number of residents of College Park, Md. registered to vote as of February 1 of the year the redistricting occurs.

Robert Day suggested the members research how the redistricting was done in the past. One of the first things is to study the data to understand where it came from. John Krouse thought Chris Dullnig might be able to review the previous 4 maps and how they came about. Mr. Day said the Commission will not be adding or removing districts or changing the council representation.

Chris Dullnig demonstrated how he created the district maps during the last Redistricting Commission. The current Commission discussed which map is currently being used. Mr. Day pointed out the numbers on the left hand side which show the population of each district and how they are similar. He said the Commission will have to perform similar work. Mr. Krouse believed the City Council might have recreated Plan A putting Davis Hall into District 2. Ms. Ferguson believed Noah Simon has the correct districting on the computer. The City Clerk might have it. Elisa Vitale will look into it.

Cynthia Lollar asked about the numbers within each district. Mr. Dullnig explained there are tracts and blocks. A tract is a group of blocks. There is a possibility a tract could be shared with another city. Mr. Dullnig gave an example of tract 8072 with block 3003. Ms. Lollar asked if the Census determines the tracts and blocks and enumerates each. Mr. Dullnig said yes and the example is a large block with 600 voters. Ms. Lollar asked why it is such a large block. David Bransfield said a block is determined by roads. Ms. Lollar and Mr. Day agreed it is a geographical mapping of the area. Ms. Lollar asked how it is determined a block goes into a

tract. Ms. Vitale explained the system provides a way to narrow from larger to smaller areas. Mr. Bransfield explained he had a book listed the details of one tract and within that were the blocks and all the addresses of each house. It was agreed the tracts will not change over time.

Mr. Day asked Ms. Vitale if the Commission could have a copy of the submitted final report from 2000. Ms. Vitale will scan the report and send it as a PDF to everyone.

Mr. Dullnig noticed the map with the districts did not have the Ikea area. Ms. Vitale mentioned in 2000 the population was 24,657 and in 2010 the population is 30,413. The Camden Road Project just outside of the beltway brings new land to the City with 502 apartment units and new residents within the City. It is the only annexed land since the last Census. Mr. Dullnig will add Ikea and the housing to the computer program. The Courtyards area was part of the district but did not have any population in 2000.

Mr. Day asked if everyone understands the districts. Ms. Lollar said she does not know the streets. Ms. Vitale said everyone will get to know the street names as the redistricting continues.

A discussion about determining student population ensued. The Census did not give a logical count on the student population. Mr. Day said the previous Commission went to the University of Maryland and received a bed count and student count. At present, all the beds are full in each dormitory. Ms. Vitale said City Hall has the current number of students at the University. Mr. O'Donnell asked why the Commission needs to have the number of students. Mr. Day explained the Commission adds the students as residents of College Park. Mr. Bransfield added Commons Five would not release the names of students in the buildings to the Census and the Census marked the building as empty.

Mr. Day said the Commission will take the Census data and match it against any other data available. Mr. Krouse explained the Commission will take the information from the University and the Census and see which one has a better count for the buildings and then use those numbers.

Ms. Lollar asked what makes a student a resident of College Park. Mr. Dullnig answered if the student responded they were living in College Park on April 1, 2010. Ms. Ferguson explained the February 1, 2011 date is for registered voters. Mr. O'Donnell asked for clarification about the student bed count and voter registration. Chantal Cotton explained the Commission needs two sets of data. They will use the voter registration data and the Census data. The bed count corresponds with the Census data. Mr. O'Donnell understood why they needed the bed count as of April 1, 2010. He asked if the Commission will also have to ask the number of people living in apartment complexes. Ms. Ferguson answered the University knows the number of beds and occupancy. Mr. Day added that Resident Life has a website which says how many beds are in each dorm.

Mr. Krouse asked how to balance the voter numbers and the population. After reading the Census information he did not remember what it all means. Ms. Ferguson answered the Commission will have to look at voter numbers to see if they are wildly off but the primary numbers will be the Census numbers. Ms. Lollar asked if the voter registration number will be different from the Census number since the students are a transient population. Ms. Ferguson answered since the population is transient, the two sets of numbers will show how many people

live in College Park and how many people vote within each district and are not transient. Tim Miller gave a hypothetical example of district four being a heavily student population. The Census says there are 1000 people living in the district but the voter registration data says there are only 100 people. There is a 900 person difference. The Commission would have to count the higher number.

Mr. Krouse read the second paragraph of the Re-Appportionment memo from Robert H. Levan to the Mayor and City Council of College Park dated April 2, 2002. He then summarized by saying the lawsuit directed the City into redrawing the boundaries and while it used the registered voters as an adjunct to balance the districts, it ruled the voter data can not be the basis of the district lines. Mr. Krouse asked Ms. Ferguson if the Commission just used the Census data, would it be perfectly legal. Ms. Ferguson agreed. Mr. Krouse asked about the voter data. Ms. Ferguson said the court wants the Commission to look at the registered voter data because there is a transient population. Mr. Day added a quarter or more of the population live within the University. Mr. Krouse recalled from the previous redistricting the Commission was able to balance the number of people within each district to 400 people. Mr. Dullnig clarified by saying the previous Commission added the voter numbers to the Census data to give the best balance. Ms. Lollar asked if the Commission is using Census data plus bed count. Ms. Ferguson clarified the bed count is a double check whether the Census data about the student numbers are correct. Ms. Lollar asked if the bed count is higher than the Census count than the Commission will use the bed count. Ms. Ferguson agreed and said the University is accurate in their numbers. Mr. Dullnig continued to explain the previous Commission verified their numbers the best they could. The Census might say there isn't anyone living in a block but the Commission knew there was a population of students. The Commission used the bed count for those blocks. Ms. Lollar asked if a resident and a voter are the same. The Commission agreed it wasn't. Mr. Dullnig added those who were residents and a registered voter received a double count. The best way to balance the two numbers was to give each person the same vote. He clarified it is not a double count. Mr. O'Donnell added the law says it is acceptable to have a 10% deviation from the ideal population. If the Commission looked at just the population the number did not exceed the 10%. Mr. Krouse continued with understanding the law of how to redistrict. He asked if the Commission could redistrict purely on the population numbers. Ms. Ferguson said the City law mandates the Commission must use both voter registration data and Census data. Mr. Krouse asked if there was a formula on how to combine the two sets of data. Ms. Ferguson said there is not. Mr. Dullnig added the previous Commission had to move blocks. The program he created for redistricting can show with voters, without voters and total population. Mr. Dullnig said the City Council members gave the mandate to include voters and residents. Mr. Day added all residents have to be included and the four districts have to be as even as possible. If the Commission only tries to split the numbers evenly some city neighborhoods will get cut.

Mr. O'Donnell clarified the bed count. He asked if the City went to Resident Life and received the number of beds for University owned dorms and apartments. He was also curious about the population count for privately owned buildings. Ms. Vitale said that will be more of an issue because there are more categories.

Types of student housing:

- 1) Campus housing (paying room and board to the University)
- 2) On University owned land but developed by the private sector (South Campus Commons which is a public/private partnership). The buildings are managed and maintained by a separate company. There is a one year lease and rented by bed.

3) Purely private apartments are not on University property and are developed by the private sector (Mazza, University View 1, and University View 2). The University can not give any numbers on those apartments. The apartments are rented by bed.

Mr. O'Donnell questioned if University View 2 (View 2) should be counted since it is full and will be part of the district. Ms. Ferguson will look into whether View 2 should be counted or not. Mr. Dullnig said the Commission has to draw the line as to what numbers to count. He suggested only using the data from April 1, 2010. Ms. Ferguson said since the building is full it will skew the numbers. Mr. Dullnig said the difficulty this Commission will have is the numbers from the View and the View 2 and Mazza Grand Marc. Ms. Ferguson added the residents have year long leases and the occupancy numbers would have been accurate regardless if the students were home for the summer. Mr. Dullnig said the Commission should get the occupancy numbers for those buildings.

The three buildings in question for the purely private apartments are: University View, View 2 and Mazza Grand Marc.

Ms. Vitale showed the three buildings on the map. She explained the Mazza is a 600+ bed apartment building that is 60% leased. There were students moving in during the summer of 2010. The building might not be full by the next election and the Commission will have to look at what is fair. Mr. Dullnig added the Commission will be looking at the next ten years not the past and where do they cut off from the buildings that are built to the Enclave and the Varsity which will be done within the year.

Mr. Dullnig made a few statements to make things clear. Yes, the students are transitory but there will always be a student in each bed. He added he will not break down the numbers by race, creed or color. Mr. O'Donnell agreed it is unconstitutional to draw districts based on race. He added in his previous line of work 'transient voters' used to mean minority voters. The American Community Survey (ACS) has shown there is a doubling of the Hispanic population which is a transient population. Their voting participation rates will not be as high. Mr. Dullnig countered that the Hispanic residents are still people and they pay taxes in the city. Mr. O'Donnell said if the Hispanic population is significant enough and the voter participation rate is low the districts could be off. Mr. Krouse said the Commission's first order is to balance the districts by population. The court order is also to look at the voting record. Mr. O'Donnell said the justification for the deviation was University students but if the justification were for Hispanics it would be different. Ms. Ferguson said the justification was a transient population. The Commission has to look at where the students live. Ten years ago apartment complexes were not in the City like they are today. The students use to live in single family homes and now live mostly in the apartments. The majority of the Hispanic population lives in single family homes in the northern part of the City. The numbers that are going to change are the students who lived elsewhere and now live in the apartments. Mr. O'Donnell agreed that renters are transient. The ACS is an estimate and said the increase in Hispanic population went from 5% to 16% in five years. Mr. O'Donnell worries the count of voter participation will have an undercount of Hispanics. Mr. Dullnig said he is prohibited by law to look at the demographics of the population. He said if a district has a lot of people but no voters than the lines will have to be redrawn to make it equal. The voters and residents have to be balanced in each district. Mr. Day said the numbers will push the boundaries around and reshape the districts. Ms. Ferguson said Mr. O'Donnell's point is valid in that the Commission does not want a district with lots of people and only two voters because only the two voters will decide what will happen in the district. Only after the Commission starts to look at the numbers will they know if there are a lower number of voters. Mr. Day said the Commission needs to be fair to the City by making

sure each district is equally represented. Mr. Dullnig showed an example of the previous districts with voter participation and without.

Mr. Bransfield asked if the voter distribution was equal on the map and then also equal when the elections came about. Mr. Krouse answered the three areas the Commission has to work with are: Maintain core areas, be very conservative and maintain geographical impacts. Mr. Day asked how many neighborhoods are in College Park. Ms. Vitale said there are 14 neighborhoods.

Mr. Day said there is a block that was added in the previous redistricting to tie the Courtyards into College Park.

Mr. Day said the Commission has to have a public hearing and there will be people at the hearing who will not be happy about the districts. Ms. Ferguson added the council was in agreement to not interact with members of the redistricting Commission so that there isn't any undue influence.

Mr. O'Donnell asked when College Park went from eight to four districts. Ms. Cotton said in the late 80's early 90's. She will find out from the City Manager.

Mr. Krouse asked Mr. Dullnig if he will be able to use the same system he used last time. Mr. Dullnig answered yes because it was designed to have new information put into an Excel spreadsheet and the program would read the information. The tracts and blocks will mostly stay the same.

Ms. Cotton said the Chief of the College Park Board of Elections Supervisors, will report the voter data back to the County after the Commission has made the decision. He was wondering if Mr. Dullnig could produce the data to look like the data the county will need because the turn around is fast. The sample spreadsheet he gave to Ms. Cotton is by address and then district. Mr. Day said what the Chief has to do is tricky because one half of a street is in one district and the other half is in another district. Mr. Day recalls having the conversation with the Chief on a previous occasion. Mr. Dullnig will try but it is not a priority.

Jenna Beveridge asked what the methodology is for the redistricting. Mr. Day answered the Commission has to collect all the data. Ms. Vitale has a lot of the information already. Once the numbers are collected the Commission will work with the data. Mr. Krouse explained Mr. Dullnig will take the data that are approved by the Commission and start to put the numbers into the blocks and then play with the district lines. Mr. Dullnig said the Commission should compare the numbers of each block and tract of 2010 to 2000 and see which is higher. The houses in foreclosure will make a difference in population. Mr. Miller asked if in the comparison of numbers from 2000 to 2010 if the numbers tend to be the same then there won't have to be a lot of movement. Ms. Ferguson said if there is a large difference then the Commission will have to examine why.

Mr. Day said when the data is pulled together the Commission will need to do a lot of work. Mr. Dullnig asked if anyone is a data cruncher. Ms. Beveridge answered yes. Mr. Dullnig said he thinks the Commission should figure out who is going to do what so as not to duplicate the effort.

Mr. Dullnig asked the Commission to think about:

1) How to count student housing.

- 2) How to count the View, View 2 and Mazza Grand Marc?
- 3) Does the Commission find out how many homes are foreclosed and what to do with the numbers? Will there be someone living in the house in the next ten years?

Mr. Day said the Commission should think about questions and the Commission should work together.

Mr. Day asked if everyone wants to meet on February 23<sup>rd</sup>. The Commission agreed.

The Mayor and Council need to appoint another member.

Ms. Cotton said the Chief of the Board of Elections Supervisors asked if he could come to the meeting on the 23<sup>rd</sup>. Mr. Krouse said he would prefer the Chief not to attend the meeting. Ms. Ferguson asked why he wants to attend the meeting. Ms. Cotton responded she thinks it has to do with what he has to do with the data afterwards. Mr. Day said the Commission is not ready for him to be at the meeting quiet yet. Mr. Dullnig disagreed with Mr. Krouse stating he is the supervisor of the voters and voters are part of the mandate so he has a right to be at the meeting. Mr. Krouse believed the Chief would have influence on the Commission. Ms. Cotton will ask the Chief why he would like to come to the meeting and then email the Commission to let them know. The Commission can then decide whether he can be at the meeting or not. Ms. Lollar asked if anyone could come to the meeting. Ms. Ferguson answered yes but they can just listen and observe.

Mr. Dullnig said he has a map of Berwyn in District 2. It has every street and numbers. It was created by Jim Nealist for the neighborhood phonebook. Mr. Dullnig asked if there is a City map with all the house numbers. Mr. Day receives one every meeting for the APC. Ms. Vitale said PG Atlas has address information and can download it as an Excel file but does not have the tract or block. The City has a data-sharing agreement with the County. Steve Halpern, City Engineer, was trying to create a City specific data layer with addresses. Ms. Vitale is not sure if the data layer has been completed..

Mr. Dullnig moved to adjourn. Mr. Miller seconded the motion. All were in favor.

The meeting ended at 9:00 p.m.

Minutes recorded by Julie Beavers

**Meeting Minutes**  
**City of College Park Redistricting Commission**  
**February 23, 2011**

**Commission Members in Attendance:**

Robert Day (Chair), Tim Miller (Vice Chair); Jenna Beveridge, David Bransfield, Chris Dullnig, John Krouse and Sean O'Donnell;

**Staff Members in Attendance:**

Elisa Vitale

The meeting was called to order at 7:09 p.m.

The Commission reviewed the minutes from the February 9, 2011 meeting. On the last page, the 4<sup>th</sup> paragraph the sentence should read "The Mayor and Council need to appoint another member." Also on the last page, the 6<sup>th</sup> paragraph last sentence should say "Ms. Vitale is not sure if the data layer has been completed."

On page 4 in the 3<sup>rd</sup> paragraph strike the sentence "They are in one district."

Mr. Krouse motioned to accept the minutes as amended. Mr. Dullnig seconded the motion. All were in favor.

**First item of business:**

Mr. Dullnig has narrowed down the information from Ms. Vitale. He now needs to make a list of every block and tract in College Park and eliminate everything that is not needed. Ms. Vitale gave Mr. Dullnig two CDs, one with mapping software and the other with the data. There is a good portion of data collected. Once Mr. Day finds his spreadsheet that has the addresses, tracts and blocks it can be divided amongst the Commission members to work on.

**Student Housing:**

Each dorm building needs to be added to a block. The total beds for the dorm buildings are: 8,240. South Campus Commons has 2,195 beds. The Courtyards has 808 beds. Frat Row has 465 beds and the Graham Cracker has 279 beds. The total on campus population the University gave to the Census is 8,073. This number only involves North Campus, South Campus and South Hill Community dorms. Including all buildings the number would be over 11,000 people which is a third of the City population.

**Next item:**

What to do about the new buildings (Enclave and Varsity).

What will the cut off date be to count the people in the new buildings?

**Questions:**

Mr. Krouse asked how the voting data counted. Mr. Day answered if a person voted either in the statewide or the citywide elections then they are considered part of the voter data. The Commission would like to know which two elections they will use.

Ms. Beveridge asked if there is any outstanding data the Commission does not have yet. Ms. Vitale explained the number of prisoners who have their home address in College Park. The State will send the information about the prisoners in mid March.

Mr. O'Donnell asked if the Commission has thought about the private student housing. The Commission agreed to look at the bed count of the View, View 2 and Mazza Grand Marc. Another question was asked which date to use as the cut off and whether to include the Enclave and the Varsity. Ms. Beveridge said it is presumed the two apartment buildings will be full within the ten year time frame. Mr. O'Donnell said this is a snapshot of a period of time. A lot could happen in the ten years. Mr. Dullnig said these buildings will not have any voter data. Mr. Day suggested adding these buildings into one of the plans that will be presented to the Mayor and Council and explaining to the Council the problems the Commission foresees. Ms. Vitale explained it might not work since the City could add other housing and annexations within the next year. Mr. Miller suggested only using the buildings which are finished and which will be finished by the end of June. Ms. Vitale agreed and said that idea is more in keeping with the Census. Mr. Dullnig asked if the Commission should ask the City Council if they think the Commission should include the Varsity and the Enclave. Mr. Day agreed and said that is a fair question to ask the Council. Mr. Miller asked if the Enclave was under roof when the Census was taken. Ms. Vitale explained it was not. On April 1, 2010 there were 3 new single family homes, Mazza Grandmarc and View 2 with a total of 1,148 new addresses. Mr. O'Donnell said with all the new buildings and annexation there could be a mid decade re-districting. Mr. Day suggested the Commission write in their report they recommend the Council should not wait ten years to redistrict. Mr. Dullnig "moved to ask the Council their direction for us as to whether to include bed counts for the Enclave and the Varsity." Mr. Miller seconded. Mr. Day motioned "to table the vote until more members of the Commission are present." Mr. Dullnig seconded the motion. All were in favor.

Mr. Day said the next meeting will be March 9<sup>th</sup>.

Mr. Day asked Mr. Dullnig how he wanted to handle working with the data. Mr. Dullnig said he would like help with voters per block. The Commission needs a list of the blocks in College Park which Mr. Dullnig can do. The numbering system of each block has changed. Mr. Dullnig will have to generate a map with each block number. The next step is adding the voter registration data to the blocks.

Mr. Bransfield motioned to adjourn. Mr. Miller seconded the motion. All were in favor. The meeting adjourned at 8:33 p.m.

Minutes recorded by Julie Beavers

**Meeting Minutes**  
**City of College Park Redistricting Commission**  
**March 09, 2011**

**Commission Members in Attendance:**

Robert Day (Chair), Jenna Beveridge, David Bransfield, Chris Dullnig, Maxine Gross, Alan Hew, John Krouse, Cynthia Lollar and Sean O'Donnell

**Staff Members in Attendance:**

Chantal Cotton, Suellen Ferguson and Elisa Vitale

**Visitor:**

Jack Robson, Chief City Election Supervisor

The meeting was called to order at 7:09 p.m.

**First item of business:**

Mr. Robson asked the Commission to give him a copy of the work product. He would like to know the houses that are put into each new redistricting plan. The Commission agreed they could give him a map description.

**The Enclave and the Varsity:**

Ms. Ferguson spoke about including the City Council in making the decision about whether to add The Enclave and The Varsity apartment buildings.

Mr. Krouse added the Commission will make three maps and one could be with the variance of these two buildings.

Ms. Ferguson said the Commission should come up with the recommendations and the Council will be given the same legal advice that the Commission is given.

**Voter Data and Blocks:**

Each Commission member will look at some blocks and be given the Voter Data for those blocks. When looking at the block the Commission member will also look at a spreadsheet with the house numbers in a row. There is another spreadsheet with Voter Data which shows street by street. It is the job of the Commission Member to write the number of voters within the house within the block.

Ms. Cotton has sent out the Voter Data previously. She said the Commission will only count the gubernatorial election in 2009 and the City election of 2010.

Mr. O'Donnell said the ordinance said the last state election or the last municipal election. Ms. Ferguson replied the resident has registered to vote and voted in either one of the two elections. Ms. Cotton added if the resident is a registered voter but didn't vote then they are not counted.

Mr. Krouse asked what is the best way to back check the charts to make sure the count is done correctly. He would like to know if there is a way to have a spreadsheet of streets and addresses. The Commission member could then put the blocks around the street rather than the other way around. Mr. Day agreed but said there are 70 to 80 new blocks added. Mr. O'Donnell asked about sorting the voter data. Mr. Day said yes.

Ms. Vitale said there might possibly be an add-on to ARC GIS to help tell which addresses are in each block. Mr. O'Donnell asked if there was a shape file for the area. Ms. Vitale said there is one for Prince Georges County.

Mr. Day questioned whether or not to hand out voter data and blocks. He would like to see the maps of dorms of the University. The group agreed it was okay to wait.

Mr. Dullnig said he and Mr. Day will print the maps, write the process and create packets to each member. Mr. Krouse suggested Mr. Miller should also be able to help since he has computer expertise.

**Properties to Include:**

Ms. Vitale informed the Commission about the buildings currently under construction that are not occupied now but will be in August. The Varsity is 900 beds and the Enclave is 200 to 300 beds.

Mr. Dullnig stated the Census counted the students from the University. He assumes 95% live in College Park already. If the Commission counts the Varsity and the Enclave then the students need to be subtracted from somewhere else or the Commission is making up numbers. The students will move out of the rental housing in the neighborhoods and into the Enclave and the Varsity. Ms. Beveridge argued there is a large commuter population. Ms. Ferguson said it will depend on what the law says about this situation. Mr. Day said if the Commission picks a date then it would cut the two buildings out. Or the buildings could be part of one of the maps. Ms. Gross questioned whether the students would vote or not. Ms. Ferguson will look into the 'forward thinking' about these two buildings.

Ms. Vitale said the Mazza Grandmarc is 69% leased. The View 2 is 100% leased. The Camden is 94% leased. Mazza Grandmarc and the View 2 were not under roof as of April 1, 2010 when the Census started.

Ms. Ferguson will investigate if the Commission can include people in these buildings to include the people in the blocks.

**Other:**

Mr. O'Donnell asked about the prisoner count. Ms. Vitale said she is still waiting for the information.

Mr. Hew told Mr. Day which blocks he will take and Mr. Day will send him the voter data. Mr. Day said he will email the information. Ms. Vitale said the City has an FTP server if Mr. Day would like to use it to store the information.

Mr. Bransfield motioned to adjourn. Mr. Dullnig seconded the motion. All were in favor. The meeting adjourned at 8:56 p.m.

Minutes recorded by Julie Beavers.

**Meeting Minutes**  
**City of College Park Redistricting Commission**  
**March 23, 2011**

**Commission Members in Attendance:**

Robert Day (Chair), Tim Miller (Vice Chair), Jenna Beveridge, Chris Dullnig, Maxine Gross, Alan Hew, Cynthia Lollar and Sean O'Donnell

**Staff Members in Attendance:**

Chantal Cotton, Suellen Ferguson and Elisa Vitale

The meeting was called to order at 7:10 p.m.

**First item of business:**

Ms. Ferguson did some research into whether or not to include people in the redistricting who were not in the Census count. The buildings in question are the University View 2 and the Mazza Grandmarc. The previous Commission had a similar question and were instructed from the City Council to include the people from the buildings Commons and Courtyard at the University of Maryland. The City Attorney for the previous Redistricting Commission issued an opinion to the City Council to include items that are not in the Census count (that are not pure mistakes) by changing the Charter. He prepared a Charter Amendment in December of 2002. "Residents who were erroneously omitted from the census count and residents of structures that have been built since the census count and residents annexed into the City after the completion of the most recent Census." The amendment was adopted but is not in the charter and Ms. Ferguson will email the Commission an update when she knows more information. The Attorney General for the State of Maryland issued an opinion called the Use of Adjusted Census Data in 1999. It states if the Commission has a good basis for making corrections or using an actual count then it is not unconstitutional or improper. The previous Redistricting Commission sent a letter to the Census Bureau instructing them to fix the under-counting of the dorms.

Mr. O'Donnell asked about the forecasted population for the Enclave and the Varsity. The Commission agreed to not count those buildings.

The Commission questioned what date and numbers to use for the View 2 and the Mazza Grandmarc. Mr. O'Donnell suggested using the same date as the voter registration, February 1, 2011. The occupancy numbers the Commission will use are 100% full for the View 2 and 69% for the Mazza Grandmarc.

Ms. Lollar made the motion *to count the new apartments, View 2 and Mazza Grandmarc, according to the leasing of their units as of February 1, 2011.* Mr. O'Donnell seconded the motion and all were in favor.

**Second item of business:**

Ms. Vitale sent the breakdown of addresses to the Commission. With the information, Mr. Day was able to create the data file which Mr. Dullnig will put into the database. The Commission will now be able to do cross checking and eliminate walking around. There will still be some spot checking in all four districts.

**Third item of business:**

The prisoners.

The Representation without Population Act of 2010 requires that prisoners in state or federal prisons are counted for redistricting purposes based on their last known address before incarceration. There are 1700 in the prisoner population of Prince George's County. Ms. Vitale is looking at the adjusted population count by voting precinct. There are 5 that cover College Park and 4 of the 5 show adjustment. The 5th is the University of Maryland. The Commission agreed to move forward until there is more information where the 60 people live who have been incarcerated from Prince Georges County.

**Fourth item of business:**

Campus Housing Numbers.

Ms. Vitale gave each Commission member a map of the University of Maryland she created with GIS. Ms. Vitale will give the number of beds for each of the Resident's Halls and which block they are in. The numbers can then be compared to the Census Data.

**Fifth item of business:**

Block 4007: A large block which includes all the new student housing.

Mr. Dullnig asked if he should split the block in half to give better control in the count. The Commission agreed he should.

**Questions:**

Mr. Dullnig asked Mr. Day if the data he received has the adjustment for the dorms on campus.

Mr. Day responded it does not. The information is purely the numbers out of the Census.

Ms. Ferguson recommended the Commission write a letter to the Census to fix their miscount of the dorm numbers as the previous Commission did.

The Commission discussed the possibility of having a bonus map add the Varsity and the Enclave as a forward-looking option.

**Review of the Minutes from February 23, 2011 and March 9, 2011.**

Mr. Dullnig motioned to accept the minutes. Ms. Beveridge seconded the motion and all were in favor.

The Commission will meet for a non-mandatory meeting on April 6, 2011 and then return for a regular meeting on April 13, 2011.

Ms. Cotton will look into using the Council Chambers on the 5th of April.

Mr. Day adjourned the meeting at 8:09 p.m.

Minutes recorded by Julie Beavers.

**Meeting Minutes**  
**City of College Park Redistricting Commission**  
**April 6, 2011**

**Commission Members in Attendance:**

Robert Day (Chair), David Bransfield, Chris Dullnig, Alan Hew and John Krouse

**Staff Members in Attendance:**

Chantal Cotton and Elisa Vitale

The meeting was called to order at 7:12 p.m.

**Review of the minutes:**

Strike the words *Body of Mistakes* from the first paragraph, last sentence.

Correct the word *information* from the second sentence under Second item of business.

Move *Third item of business* to the next page.

Correct the last sentence of the third item to say “...where the 60 people live who have been incarcerated from Prince Georges County.”

Mr. Hew made the motion to accept the minutes as amended. All were in favor.

**Updates:**

Ms. Cotton has learned there are 13 prisoners from College Park. Right now the information is by precinct and all four College Park districts are in the 2101 precinct. Ms. Cotton is working with the State to get a better idea of what block and tract the people are from.

Ms. Vitale updated the Commission with the email from Ms. Ferguson regarding the new apartment buildings.

Ms. Ferguson advised the Commission they can count the people in the Mazza Grandmarc and the View 2 as long as there is objective evidence about those numbers.

Ms. Vitale sent an email with dorm information by block and asked if there were any questions from the Commission. There are no questions at this time.

**First item of business:**

Mr. Dullnig wrote a program which tested the voter numbers in block and tract against the Census numbers in block and tract. If there were more voters in the block the program put a -1 in a column to show the lesser number of Census data. Mr. Dullnig showed the Commission different blocks that have a voter population but not a Census count. An example is block 1013 for 8073.801. Mr. Dullnig then showed block 2013 and how there is a Census count but there wasn't any voter data. Mr. Dullnig believed the voter data was put into the wrong blocks. Mr. Day said block 1013 in the voter data information is Saint Andrews Place but agrees the information is not correct on the map. Mr. Day said he should be able to fix the problem.

**Second item of business:**

Mr. Dullnig presented a new map showing the districts with the Census blocks and tracts for 2010. Mr. Dullnig split some of the blocks like he did in the previous Redistricting Commission.

**Themes:**

Mr. Krouse suggested discussing the different maps the Commission will be creating and ideas for consideration.

Mr. Krouse suggested putting the Courtyards into District 1 to create more of a balanced student population within the districts. There could be a map with a heavy student population in District 4. There are a large number of people in District 4 which will grow with the addition of the dorm bed count.

Ms. Vitale read the “Charge of the Commission when creating districts”:

The commonality of local economic and social interests

The preservation of the core of the existing council districts

Geographic compactness of the districts  
Respect for neighborhood  
Any other federal and state constitutional statures

Districts 1 and 3 need more people. An idea is to add the Ikea area to District 1 which will give that district a higher number.

The Commission agreed the members present at this meeting are only exploring options and will wait for the full Commission meeting on April 13<sup>th</sup> to have a full discussion.

Mr. Hew asked about the number change from 7018 people in the previous Census to 9455 people in District 4. The Commission found block 3010 is a large block that has all of the South Campus Commons buildings and South Hill Community. The Commission discussed splitting this block between South Campus Commons and South Hill.

The Commission discussed New and Old Leonardtown. The Leonardtown area is the only one in the entire student housing blocks that has single family homes, a condo building and a fraternity house.

Ms. Vitale suggested adding to the agenda for the next meeting. The Commission should compare how the Census counted bodies and how the University of Maryland counted beds and people. This would give an idea as to how accurate the Census numbers are for campus housing.

**Other:**

In the final notes, Mr. Krouse suggested putting a line for each dorm in the spreadsheet.

Mr. Dullnig will put the dorm numbers into the spreadsheet.

The Commission should keep an average size per district of 8625 with voters and 8150 without voters.

Ms. Vitale will get the number of people living in the Knox Box area for the Commission.

Ms. Cotton announced Councilmember Mitchell has an appointee for District 4. The appointee will meet with Ms. Vitale and Ms. Cotton next week to catch up on the Commission meetings.

The next Commission meeting is April 13, 2011.

Mr. Krouse moved to adjourn the meeting. Mr. Dullnig seconded the motion. All were in favor. The meeting adjourned at 8:35 p.m.

Minutes recorded by Julie Beavers.

**Meeting Minutes**  
**City of College Park Redistricting Commission**  
**April 13, 2011**

**Commission Members in Attendance:**

Tim Miller (Vice Chair), Jenna Beveridge, Chris Dullnig, Maxine Gross, Alan Hew, John Krouse, Dustyn Kujawa and Cynthia Lollar

**Staff Members in Attendance:**

Chantal Cotton

The meeting was called to order at 7:15 p.m.

The Commission introduced themselves to Dustyn Kujawa, the new District 4 Commission member.

The Commission reviewed what was discussed at the non- mandatory meeting of April 6, 2011.

**Review of the minutes:**

Mr. Dullnig made a motion to accept the minutes. Ms. Beveridge seconded the motion. All were in favor.

**Updates:**

Ms. Cotton distributed a memo about the Redistricting Commission Final Report and a copy of the 2003 Redistricting Commission Final Report. She also gave a proposed preliminary Timeline for the 2011 Redistricting Commission. The options would need to be ready by Tuesday, May 17, 2011. The Commission discussed having weekly meetings rather than bi-weekly.

Ms. Beveridge made the motion to have weekly meetings. Mr. Krouse seconded the motion. All were in favor. The next meetings will be: April 20<sup>th</sup>, April 27<sup>th</sup>, May 4<sup>th</sup> and May 11<sup>th</sup>.

Mr. Krouse asked what type of theme the Commission would like to present to the Council and if the Commission could discuss the themes this meeting.

There is information in the 2003 Final Report that could be reused for the 2011 Final Report.

Ms. Cotton said there are 13 prisoners from College Park. Ms. Cotton is still waiting for the Maryland Department of Planning to give the block group for each prisoner. With a low number of people the information will not change the district numbers.

Mr. Krouse questioned whether the maps that Mr. Dullnig creates will be part of the Final Report and presented to the Council on May 17<sup>th</sup>.

**Dorm Numbers:**

Old and New Leonardtown.

Old Leonardtown and New Leonardtown seem to be in two separate blocks according to the information from the University of Maryland. Old Leonardtown is 1003 while New Leonardtown is in 1006. The Commission agreed both Leonardtown Communities are in Block 1003 and Fraternity Row is in Block 1006.

The data from the Census has 967 people in the block 1003. The University gave 630 people for both Leonardtown communities.

The Commission discussed three options.

1. Split the Census number into adjourning blocks.
2. Keep the Census number as the block number.
3. Use the University number.

The Commission agreed to use the Census number for this block because the University number does not include

other non campus dwellings within the block. The Commission also agreed the blocks surrounding 1006 are within the same district so dividing the number into the surrounding blocks would not change the districts numbers.

Mr. Dullnig made the motion to keep the Census count of 967 people for block 1006. Ms. Gross seconded the motion. All were in favor.

Fraternity Row.

The Census gave 336 people living in Fraternity Row, block 1006. The University gave 465 for the bed count. The Commission agreed to keep the Census number of 336.

Tract 8072, Block 2009

Denton Hall, Elkton Hall and Easton Hall. The Census gave 1740 people and the University gave 1632 for the bed count. The Commission agreed to use the University number.

Tract 8072, Block 2012

La Plata Hall, Ellicott Hall and Hagerstown Hall. The Census gave 2050 people and the University gave 1790 for the bed count. The Commission agreed to use the University number.

Tract 8072, Block 3002

The University gave 1972 for the bed count. The Commission agreed to use the University number.

There is some confusion about Tract 8072, Block 4038. Ms. Vitale will look into what buildings are in the block.

Tract 8072, Block 2008

Bel Air Hall, Chestertown Hall and Cambridge Hall. The Census gave 1427 people and the University gave 1500 for the bed count. The Commission agreed to use the University number.

Tract 8072, Block 4004

The Courtyards. The Census gave 495 people and the University gave 808 for the bed count. The Commission agreed to use the University number.

Tract 8072, Block 3011

Carroll Hall, Caroline Hall and Wicomico Hall. The Census gave 465 people and the University gave 411 for the bed count. The Commission agreed to use the University number.

Tract 8072, Block 1013

Graham Cracker. The Census gave 1067 people and the University gave 279 for the bed count. The Commission agreed to use the University number.

Tract 8072, Blocks 3013 and 3010

Buildings 3 and 4 of South Campus Commons, Charles Hall and Allegany Hall are in Block 3013. Buildings 1, 2, 5, 6 and 7 of South Campus Commons are in 3010. The Commission has a total number of beds for South Campus Commons. Ms. Vitale and Mr. Bransfield are looking into separate numbers for each building.

Block 3010 has 1774 for the Census count. Block 3013 has 1181 for the Census count. Adding the two Census numbers together equals 2955. The University gave the number 2195 for bed count for the South Campus Commons buildings. The University also gave 103 for Charles Hall and 202 for Allegany Hall.  $2195+103+202=2500$ . There is a difference of 455. The issue will be addressed at the next meeting.

Ms. Cotton spoke with Mr. Day and he said he will have the number of voters by the current districts soon. He will give Mr. Dullnig the information by Saturday.

Mr. Miller asked if the Commission should see if there is any variation from 2003 to now.

Mr. Dullnig said he does not have that information.

Mr. Dullnig showed the current numbers with the adjustments made this evening.

**Second item of business:**

Adding the numbers of View 1, View 2 and Mazza Grandmarc. The Commission did not know the number of beds for View 1.

The Commission discussed the Mazza Grandmarc and its block 2000. The Census number for Tract 8070, Block 2000 is 213 which is only the houses. The Census did not count the number of people living in the Mazza Grandmarc because it was not completely built. The Commission knows Mazza is 69% leased of 630 beds. The number of beds leased is 435. Mr. Dullnig asked about adding 435 beds to the Census number 213 with a total of 648.

Mr. Krouse read The New Language of the Amended City Charter on March the 21<sup>st</sup>.

*As used in the section the following terms should have the following meaning. Population- Population consists of the residents of College Park counted in the most recent decennial Census preceding the redistricting. In addition, if the City has objective evidence about their numbers, the City may at its discretion include: (A) Residents who were erroneously omitted from the Census count; (B) Residents of structures that have been built since the Census count; (C) Residents of properties annexed into the City after the completion of the most recent Census.*

After reviewing the new language, the Commission agreed the 435 people living in Mazza Grandmarc are acceptable.

In Tract 8070, Block 2000 the new number is 648.

The Commission reviewed the average number of people per District. 8150 people without voters. 8165 with voters.

The outstanding information for View 1 and View 2 will be discussed in the next meeting. Both buildings are in the same block and can not be adjusted until the Commission knows the numbers for View 1. The bed count for View 2 is 517.

The next Commission meeting is April 20, 2011.

Ms. Lollar moved to adjourn the meeting. Ms. Kujawa seconded the motion. All were in favor. The meeting adjourned at 9:02 p.m.

Minutes recorded by Julie Beavers.

**Meeting Minutes**  
**City of College Park Redistricting Commission**  
**April 20, 2011**

**Commission Members in Attendance:**

Robert Day (Chair), Chris Dullnig, Maxine Gross, Alan Hew, John Krouse, Dustyn Kujawa, Cynthia Lollar and Sean O'Donnell

**Staff Members in Attendance:**

Chantal Cotton and Elisa Vitale

The meeting was called to order at 7:12 p.m.

**Updates from the City Staff:**

Ms. Ferguson will attend the meeting on April 27, 2011.

Ms. Beveridge, Mr. Miller and Mr. Bransfield are unable to attend the meeting tonight.

**First order of business:**

Mr. Day explained the voter data issue. He suspects a script error, but the solution eludes him. Ms. Vitale explained the system she used. Mr. Day and Ms. Vitale will work on the system again after the meeting.

**The Population Count for the Knox Box:**

Block 3010 has 96 people in the 3 Knox Box buildings and the 15 unit apartment building. Ms. Vitale will look into how many people are in the Fraternity House.

Mr. Bransfield was unable to get the information regarding the number of people for South Campus Commons. He sent the contact person's name to Ms. Cotton and she is waiting for an email response.

Block 3016 has 36 people in the 3 Knox Box houses and does not include the College Park Towers. The Census data says there are 631 people for this block. The Commission agreed on this number.

Block 1018 has 36 people from the information Ms. Vitale gave and 44 people from the Census Data. The Commission agreed to the Census number.

Block 3017 has 192 people from the information Ms. Vitale gave and 224 from the Census. The Commission agreed to the Census number.

**University View 1 and 2:**

Tract 8070, Block 4007

Mr. Dullnig asked if the block should be split into two separate blocks. The Commission agreed it should stay as one.

View 1 and View 2 are the only housing in the block and therefore not practical to split. The View 1 has 1,056 beds and View 2 has 517 beds. The total population for the block is 1,573.

The population for District 4 is now 11,684.

**Discussion about the Dorm Numbers from the April 13<sup>th</sup> meeting:**

After reviewing the dorm population, the Commission discussed why the University numbers were used for the dorm's blocks while the Census numbers were used for other blocks. Mr. Dullnig explained the Commission knows the University is more accurate when it comes to the dorm bed count. While the dorms are the only buildings in their blocks, the Commission found it to be more exact than to use the Census count.

The Commission chose to use the Census number for the Fraternity Row block because, as Mr. Hew explained, not every bed is full in each Fraternity House. Ms. Vitale suggested the Commission use the numbers from the University for Fraternity Row because the University has an accurate count of how many beds are in each house.

The Graham Cracker:

Mr. O'Donnell questioned why the Commission chose the University count of 279 people while the Census data shows 1067. Mr. Dullnig explained the Graham Cracker complex is the only complex in the block and therefore, the Commission thought the University would know the accurate number for the block. He added the University number matches the 2003 Redistricting Commission numbers. Mr. Krouse explained the Census could have erred in recording or entering the data. Mr. Hew said the discrepancy could have occurred when the enumerator came to the door to ask how many people were in the house. The student could have provided the number of sorority sisters in the whole 'house' rather than the students actually living there.

Ms. Vitale read the Charge for the Commission.

*Number 4- any reapportionment recommendation should be based on the criterion of the sum of population and actual voters. The reapportionment of districts shall ensure that the criterion in each district is substantially equal to the criterion in every other district*

*Population- Population consists of the residents of College Park counted in the most recent decennial Census preceding the redistricting. In addition, if the Commission has objective evidence about their numbers, the Commission may at its discretion include [does not say exclude]:*

*(A) Residents who were erroneously omitted from the Census count;*

*(B) Residents of structures that have been built since the Census count;*

*(C) Residents of properties annexed into the City after the completion of the most recent Census.*

Ms. Vitale questioned if the Commission is allowed to take away from the Census count. Mr. Dullnig suggested asking Ms. Ferguson her opinion on the difference in number, the Commission agreed.

Ms. Vitale questioned how the Commission arrived at the number 648 for block 2000 with Mazza Grandmarc apartments. Mr. Dullnig explained 69% of 630 beds equal 435 beds. 435 plus the Census number of 213 equals 648 for a total population.

Mr. Hew asked Mr. Dullnig to remove block 3013 (South Campus Commons) from District 4 and return it to District 2, where it was on the map from 2003. Mr. Dullnig then removed the Camden housing (near Ikea) block from District 4 and moved it to District 1.

The population in each District with these adjustments:

District 1: 7309

District 2: 8729

District 3: 7454

District 4: 8861

**Correction to the April 13, 2011 Meeting Minutes:**

On the top of the fourth page it should read: *Population- Population consists of the residents of College Park counted in the most recent decennial Census preceding the redistricting. In addition, if the City has objective evidence about their numbers, the City may at its discretion include: (A) Residents who were erroneously omitted from the Census count; (B) Residents of structures that have been built since the Census count; (C) Residents of properties annexed into the City after the completion of the most recent Census.*

Mr. Dullnig made a motion to accept the minutes as amended. Ms. Lollar seconded the motion. All were in favor.

Mr. Dullnig made a motion to adjourn the meeting. Ms. Lollar seconded the motion. All were in favor. The meeting adjourned at 8:30 p.m.

Minutes recorded by Julie Beavers.

**Meeting Minutes**  
**City of College Park Redistricting Commission**  
**April 27, 2011**

**Commission Members in Attendance:**

Robert Day (Chair), Tim Miller (Vice-Chair), Jenna Beveridge, David Bransfield, Alan Hew, John Krouse, Dustyn Kujawa, Cynthia Lollar and Sean O'Donnell

**Staff Members in Attendance:**

Chantal Cotton, Suellen Ferguson and Elisa Vitale

The meeting was called to order at 7:10 p.m.

**Review of the minutes from April 20, 2011.**

The word *Committee* should be replaced with *Commission* in all Redistricting minutes.

On the second page under the Graham Cracker heading: The second sentence should say "...the Graham Cracker complex is the only..."

Mr. Krouse moved to adopt the minutes as amended. Mr. Miller seconded the motion. All were in favor.

**First order of business:**

Ms. Ferguson reviewed the issue with the Commission as to when it is appropriate to 1) use the number of people living in a dormitory given by the University or 2) from the Census data. The housing in question is the Graham Cracker. The Census reported 1067 people living in the buildings while the University reported 279 people. In 2003 the Census put 8000 students in one block, which the Commission knew there wasn't anyone living there. The previous Commission used those numbers to evenly distribute the count based on the University numbers. The Charter was amended in 2003 to resolve the issue of the undercount from the Census data.

Ms. Ferguson spoke with someone from the Census Bureau about changing the number. The Census Bureau will not change the number for the Commission because the data is required to be used for the House of Representatives and the Senate.

The last amendment took into account the problem of the Census having an undercount of people in the blocks. The amendment does not resolve the issue of an overcount.

The law specifically defines population as:

*Population consists of the residents of College Park counted in the most recent decennial Census preceding the redistricting. In addition, if the City has objective evidence about their numbers, the City may at its discretion include: (A) Residents who were erroneously omitted from the Census count; (B) Residents of structures that have been built since the Census count; (C) Residents of properties annexed into the City after the completion of the most recent Census.*

If there is an undercount, the law says the Commission can use the higher number from the University. The Charter does not say the Commission can exclude people from the Census count.

The Charter should be adjusted to allow the Commission to use the University numbers for the overcount.

Ms. Ferguson recommended the Commission write a letter to the Census Bureau explaining what had happened and ask they be more careful with their data.

Mr. Miller suggested the Commission create a paragraph explaining the problem and put the paragraph into the final report. Ms. Ferguson agreed to have this paragraph in the final report and she will also explain the issue to the Council.

Ms. Ferguson said if the Commission would like to amend the Charter they can go to the City Council and the amendment would take 50 days to go into effect.

Mr. Krouse made a motion "*to move forward with the Redistricting plans based on way the language of the Charter is now read and understood.*" Ms. Lollar seconded the motion.

Discussion:

Ms. Beveridge suggested making a fourth or fifth map with the University numbers rather than the Census numbers and explains the thought process.

Mr. Krouse amended his motion by adding “*produce at least one additional map that is based on, what we believe, is the corrected numbers.*” Ms. Lollar seconded the motion. All were in favor.

Ms. Cotton recommended letting Mr. Dullnig know about this change for the Graham Cracker in Block 1013.

Ms. Ferguson asked for one or two members of the Commission to go to the City Council with her to explain the issue to get a fast track to adopt a Charter amendment. Mr. Day and the Council will want to know how the numbers will skew the districts.

If the Charter is amended by July 12, 2011 (the last date by which the City Council can vote on the ordinance in order to implement it for the November election) the corrected number map could be used.

**Other business:**

Ms. Cotton said the maps should be ready by May 13th in time for the presentation before the City Council meeting on May 17, 2011.

Mr. Day said each member of the Commission will each have a part in writing the final report. Parts of the report will include: Meeting Minutes, City Code, Charter, Resolution, voter data, maps, district boundaries, neighborhood breakdown and metes and bounds. The appendices will have the bed count information. There will be eight sections of text, new apartment text and district size based on population and voter number.

Ms. Cotton said the Section 4 of the Final Report would be the one to be divided for the Commission to write.

Mr. Hew asked what needs to be made for Mr. Robson (Chief City Election Supervisor). Mr. Day responded Mr. Dullnig should be able to give Mr. Robson the information he needs.

Mr. Krouse made the motion to ask the City Council to amend or change the Charter to subtract from, as well as add to, Census Tract Data. Mr. Bransfield seconded the motion. All were in favor.

The next meeting, on May 4, 2011, the Commission will create the maps.

**Updates:**

Ms. Cotton reminded the Commission to not share the Voter Data information with anyone.

Ms. Cotton received an email with the numbers for each of the South Campus Commons buildings and forwarded the information to the Commission.

Ms. Vitale solved the voter data problem.

Mr. Miller made a motion to adjourn the meeting. Mr. Krouse seconded the motion. All were in favor. The meeting adjourned at 8:21 p.m.

Minutes recorded by Julie Beavers.

**Meeting Minutes  
City of College Park Redistricting Commission  
May 4, 2011**

**Commission Members in Attendance:**

Robert Day (Chair), Tim Miller (Vice-Chair), Jenna Beveridge, David Bransfield, Chris Dullnig, Maxine Gross, Alan Hew, John Krouse, Dustyn Kujawa, Cynthia Lollar and Sean O'Donnell

**Staff Members in Attendance:**

Chantal Cotton, Suellen Ferguson and Elisa Vitale

The meeting was called to order at 7:22 p.m.

**Review of the minutes from April 27, 2011.**

Ms. Ferguson restated the motion Mr. Krouse made regarding the Charter amendment on page two. The wording should have been *“to move forward with the Redistricting plans based on way the language of the Charter is now read and understood.”*

In the last sentence of the first paragraph under new business, the wording should be: *“the previous Commission moved around the 8000 students from the one block and then added in the bed count for all the dorms.”*

Mr. Dullnig made a motion to accept the minutes. Mr. Miller seconded the motion. All were in favor.

**Old business:**

Ms. Ferguson updated the Commission on the Charter amendment and the issue with the overcount of the Graham Cracker. Group Quarters Data explained the population number from the Census is 157, not 1,067 as listed. Ms. Ferguson reported the situation to the City Council before their meeting on Tuesday, May 3, 2011. They asked Ms. Ferguson to write a Charter Amendment to take into account the undercount and overcount for the next City Council meeting on Tuesday, May 10, 2011. Councilmember Stulich was very supportive of this change. The Commission can now resolve both undercounts and overcounts.

**New Business:**

Ms. Vitale explained there are three blocks with an overcount from the Census. There is a website with the building capacity of each dormitory from Resident Life at the University of Maryland. Those numbers do not match up with the numbers given by the University to the Census.

There was discussion about whether the Graham Cracker is 100% occupied and if the Commission should use the Census number (157) or the University number (279). There were some renovations last year during the Census. Ms. Vitale will call to get the population of the Graham Cracker. The Commission is using the Census count for this meeting.

Since the voter data spreadsheet is still being worked on, Mr. Dullnig put the voter data of each district into one central block in each district that would not move in those districts so the Commission could look at the voter data when creating the three plans.

There is a total population with voter data and the adjusted Census population of 36,555

The current population by district is:

District	Population
1	8,017
2	9,529
3	8,010
4	10,909

The districts have to be within 5 percent above or below 9,139 people.

**First Plan:**

The theme for this plan is to have more of a mixture of housing in the districts.

Mr. Krouse noted District 1 has only one major multi- family community (Wynfield Park) and with the addition of Camden, it will have more multi -family communities.

**Proposed New District Boundaries / Changes to Current Boundaries**

District	Plan A
1	Shift the northwestern boundary west of US 1 and south to the Capital Beltway to encompass Camden. Shift the southeastern boundary south and east to encompass the area bounded by Rhode Island Avenue to the west, University Boulevard/MD 193 to the south, and the CSX/ Metrorail tracks to the east.
2	Shift the northern boundary (east of Rhode Island Avenue) south to University Boulevard/ MD 193. Shift the southern boundary (east of the Delta Sigma Pi driveway) south to encompass the area bounded by Rossburg Drive to the west, Knox Road to the South, and US 1 to the east. Shift the southwestern boundary to the northeast to exclude the area encompassed by Preinkert Drive to the west, Lehigh Road to the south, and South Campus Commons Buildings 1 and 2 and LeFrak Hall.
3	Shift the northern boundary south to exclude the area encompassed by Lehigh Road to the north, US 1 to the east, Knox Road to the south, and Rossburg Drive to the west. Shift the western boundary to encompass the area bounded by Mowatt Lane to the east and south, Campus Drive to the north, and Preinkert Drive, LeFrak Hall, and South Campus Commons Buildings 1 and 2 to the west.
4	Shift the northern boundary west of US 1 south to the Capital Beltway. Shift the southeastern boundary north to Campus Drive.

The population with voters of this first plan:

District	Population
1	9,035
2	8,801
3	9,270
4	9,449

**Second Plan:**

The theme for this plan is to keep communities together and to balance multi- family housing within the districts. Ms. Vitale spoke about the Route One Sector Plan and asked the Commission to think about the housing that will be developed near the Mazza Grandmarc.

**Proposed New District Boundaries / Changes to Current Boundaries**

Dis- trict	Map 2 / Plan B
<b>1</b>	Shift the northwestern boundary to encompass Camden-south to Cherry Hill Road. Shift the western boundary to approximately 150 feet east of Autoville Drive and south to encompass Mazza Grandmarc.
<b>2</b>	Shift the southern boundary south to encompass the area bounded by Preinkert Drive to the west, Knox Road to the south, and the Delta Sigma Pi driveway to the east.
<b>3</b>	Shift the northwest boundary north and west to encompass Mowatt Lane to the west, Campus Drive to the north, and Preinkert Drive to the east as well as the area bounded by Cornell Avenue to the west, Guilford Road to the north, and Rossburg Drive to the east.
<b>4</b>	Shift the northwest boundary south to Cherry Hill Road, extending south 150 feet east of Autoville Drive to exclude Mazza Grandmarc. Shift the southeast boundary north to Campus Drive.

The population with voters of this second plan:

District	Population
1	9,323
2	9,529
3	8,689
4	9,014

**Third Plan:**

The third plan is not settled at this time and will be looked at again on Wednesday, May 11, 2011.

**Other business:**

Mr. Krouse will write the narrative for Plan 1.

Ms. Beveridge will write the narrative for Plan 2.

Mr. Day and Mr. Dullnig will work on Plan 3.

The Commission will need to read the final report electronically on Thursday, May 12, 2011.

**Updates:**

Ms. Cotton reminded the Commission about the Boards and Commissions reception on Friday, May 13, 2011.

The next meeting will be Wednesday, May 11, 2011.

The presentation to the City Council is on Tuesday, May 17, 2011. All Commission members are asked to be there.

Mr. Miller made a motion to adjourn the meeting. Mr. Krouse seconded the motion. All were in favor. The meeting adjourned at 9:15 p.m.

Minutes recorded by Julie Beavers.

**Meeting Minutes  
City of College Park Redistricting Commission  
May 11, 2011**

**Commission Members in Attendance:**

Robert Day (Chair), Tim Miller (Vice-Chair), Jenna Beveridge, David Bransfield, Chris Dullnig, Maxine Gross, Alan Hew, John Krouse, Dustyn Kujawa, Cynthia Lollar and Sean O'Donnell

**Staff Members in Attendance:**

Chantal Cotton and Elisa Vitale  
Fred Sussman, a partner to Suellen Ferguson

The meeting was called to order at 7:11 p.m.

**Review of the minutes from May 4, 2011.**

Ms. Beveridge made a motion to accept the minutes. Ms. Lollar seconded the motion. All were in favor.

**Updates:**

Ms. Cotton will continue to work on the final report. She will talk with Mr. Bransfield about clarification as to where the dorms are located.

Ms. Vitale said the Commission should create a basic plan for the Council packet report. The report needs to be in the packet and ready by Friday, May 13, 2011 for the City Council. The Redistricting Commission will be second on the agenda for the Council meeting on Tuesday, May 17<sup>th</sup>. The start time for the meeting is 7:30 p.m.

Mr. Krouse asked Mr. Dullnig to have a copy of the program he used to create the plans.

There is a total population with voter data and the adjusted Census population of 36,231  
The current population by district is:

District	Population
1	8,093
2	8,584
3	8,048
4	11,506

The districts have to be within 5 percent above or below 9,058 people.

The total population without voter data is 31,907

**First Plan:**

The theme for option plan A: This option seeks to balance the district totals as best as possible to the ideal district size without taking into account future development. This theme preserves the core of existing council districts, presents geographically compacted council districts, respects current neighborhoods, and follows Federal and State Constitutional and Statutory requirements.

Ms. Vitale informed the Commission there are two apartment buildings being annexed to the City that will possibly be built before the next census.

**Proposed New District Boundaries / Changes to Current Boundaries**

<b>District</b>	<b>Plan A</b>
<b>1</b>	Shift the northwestern boundary west of US 1 and south to the Capital Beltway to encompass Camden.
<b>2</b>	Shift the southern boundary (east of the Delta Sigma Pi driveway) south to encompass the area bounded by Rossburg Drive to the west, Knox Road to the South, and US 1 to the east. Shift the southwestern boundary to the northwest to include the area encompassed by Mowatt Lane to the east, Preinkert Drive to the west, Lehigh Road to the south, and including Carroll Hall, Wicomico Hall and Caroline Hall.
<b>3</b>	Shift the northern boundary south to exclude the area encompassed by Lehigh Road to the north, US 1 to the east, Knox Road to the south, and Rossburg Drive to the west. Shift the western boundary to encompass the area bounded by Mowatt Lane to the east, south west boundary line, Colonnade Avenue to the north, and Preinkert Drive to the east, Le-Frak Hall, and South Campus Commons Buildings 1 and 2 to the west.
<b>4</b>	Shift the northern boundary west of US 1 south to the Capital Beltway. Shift the southeastern boundary north to Campus Drive.

The population with voters of this first plan:

<b>District</b>	<b>Population</b>
1	8,975
2	9,017
3	8,874
4	9,365

The population without voters of this first plan:

<b>District</b>	<b>Population</b>
1	7,308
2	8,236
3	7,913
4	8,450

Mr. O'Donnell questioned the 1,000+ difference between District 1 and District 4. He wondered if the map will mitigate the Hispanic population. Will it violate the one person, one vote law? The Commission agreed it would not. The map would also not split potential cohesive groups.

**Mr. Krouse made a motion to adopt the map as Plan A. Mr. Bransfield seconded the motion. All were in favor.**

**Second Plan:**

The theme for this plan is to keep communities together and balance multi-family housing.

Adding the Mazza Grandmarc to District 1 will give more multi-family housing to the district.

Ms. Vitale explained the Mazza Grandmarc’s driveway is on two parcels of land at Route 1 and there are plans to put commercial buildings on those parcels. There is also redevelopment potential at the Jordan Kitt’s Music site, the Auto Effects and the bathroom vanity building. She asked the Commission to discuss if they want to add the whole area or just the Mazza Grandmarc. The Commission agreed to leave the businesses in District 4 and add only the Mazza Grandmarc to District 1.

The South Campus Commons buildings 1, 2, 5, 6 and 7 are all part of District 3. Buildings 3 and 4 are part of District 2. This keeps the majority of the Commons buildings together as a community.

**Proposed New District Boundaries / Changes to Current Boundaries**

District	Map 2 / Plan B
1	Shift the northwestern boundary west of US 1 and south to the Capital Beltway to encompass Camden. Shift the western boundary to the area encompassing only the Mazza Grandmarc.
2	Shift the southern boundary (east of the Delta Sigma Pi driveway) south to encompass the area bounded by Rossburg Drive to the west, Knox Road to the South, and US 1 to the east. Shift the southwestern boundary to the northwest to include the area encompassed by Mowatt Lane to the east, Preinkert Drive to the west, Lehigh Road to the south, and including Carroll Hall, Wicomico Hall and Caroline Hall.
3	Shift the northern boundary south to exclude the area encompassed by Lehigh Road to the north, US 1 to the east, Knox Road to the south, and Rossburg Drive to the west. Shift the western boundary to encompass the area bounded by Mowatt Lane to the east, south west boundary line, Colonnade Avenue to the north, and Preinkert Drive to the east, LeFrak Hall, and South Campus Commons Buildings 1 and 2 to the west.
4	Shift the northern boundary west of US 1 south to the Capital Beltway. Shift the southeastern boundary north to Campus Drive.

The population with voters of this second plan:

District	Population
1	9,417
2	9,017
3	8,874
4	8,015

The population without voters of this second plan:

District	Population
1	7,743
2	8,236
3	7,913
4	8,015

Mr. O’Donnell made a motion to adopt the map as Plan B. Mr. Miller seconded the motion. All were in favor.

**Third Plan:**

District	Map 3 / Plan C
1	Shift the northwestern boundary west of US 1 and south to the Capital Beltway to encompass Camden. Shift the western boundary to the area encompassing only the Mazza Grandmarc.
2	Shift the northern boundary on the west side of Route 1 to encompass the Varsity and the University View Buildings 1 and 2. Shift the south boundary line north of Paint Branch Parkway east of Route 1. Shift the southern boundary (east of the Delta Sigma Pi driveway) south to encompass the area bounded by Rossburg Drive to the west, Knox Road to the South, and US 1 to the east. Shift the southwestern boundary to the northwest to include the area encompassed by Mowatt Lane to the east, Preinkert Drive to the west, Lehigh Road to the south, and including Carroll Hall, Wicomico Hall and Caroline Hall.
3	Shift the northern boundary east of Route 1 to Paint Branch Parkway. Shift the northern boundary south to exclude the area encompassed by Lehigh Road to the north, US 1 to the east, Knox Road to the south, and Rossburg Drive to the west. Shift the western boundary to encompass the area bounded by Mowatt Lane to the east, south west boundary line, Colonnade Avenue to the north, and Preinkert Drive to the east, LeFrak Hall, and South Campus Commons Buildings 1 and 2 to the west.
4	Shift the northern boundary west of US 1 south to the Capital Beltway. Shift the southeastern boundary north to Campus Drive.

This option takes into account future development by making the districts with development in the pipeline smaller. Plan C balances all five factors identified in the Commission’s charge, particularly preserving current neighborhoods and keeping university communities in tact.

**Proposed New District Boundaries / Changes to Current Boundaries**

The population with voters of this third plan:

District	Population
1	9,417
2	8,756
3	8,874
4	9,184

The population without voters of this third plan:

District	Population
1	7,743
2	8,236
3	7,913
4	8,015

Ms. Lollar made a motion to adopt this map as Option C. Mr. Dullnig seconded the motion.

Discussion:

Mr. Bransfield asked if the area north had changed from Plan B. Mr. Dullnig said the maps are the same in the northern area.

All were in favor.

**Other business:**

The Commission agreed the three options are sufficient but experimented with creating another plan that was drastic.

Mr. Dullnig will email maps and data sets.

Mr. Krouse made a motion to adjourn the meeting. Ms. Lollar seconded the motion. All were in favor. The meeting adjourned at 8:57 p.m.

Minutes recorded by Julie Beavers.

**Draft Meeting Minutes  
City of College Park Redistricting Commission  
June 11, 2011**

**Commission Members in Attendance:**

Robert Day (Chair), Jenna Beveridge, Alan Hew, John Krouse, Dustyn Kujawa, and Sean O'Donnell

**Staff Members in Attendance:**

Chantal Cotton, Suellen Ferguson and Elisa Vitale

The meeting was called to order at 7: 31 p.m.

**Review of the minutes from May 11, 2011.**

On page one, after Mr. Krouse asked for a copy of the program used to create the maps the next sentence should read "*Mr. Dullnig declined the request.*"

On page two, the last paragraph: strike the sentence "*He wondered if the map will mitigate the Hispanic population.*"

On page five, the last paragraph should state "*The Commission agreed the three options are sufficient but experimented with, but did not adopt another plan.*"

**Mr. Krouse made a motion to adopt the minutes as amended. Ms. Beveridge seconded the motion.  
The motion passed 6-0-0.**

**Approval of the Final Report**

Some Changes to the Final Report:

- Plan C was updated
- Added to the appendix on page 20 and 21:
  - Explanations why the Commission made changes to the voter data and Census Data.
  - Information about the prisoner data and "Representation without population Act of 2010."
  - More detailed description of the adjusted Census population estimates for on-campus housing.
- Added the amended charge once Council voted on the amendment.

There were no changes based on Council's advice.

**Mr. Krouse moved to adopt the full report. Ms. Beveridge seconded the motion.  
The motion passed 6-0-0.**

**Writing a "How To" for Future Redistricting Commissions**

A sub-committee will consist of Cynthia Lollar, Robert Day, John Krouse, Chris Dullnig, Jenna Beveridge and Dustyn Kujawa. They will work to create a Question and Answer document to help future Redistricting Commission through the process.

**Mr. Krouse made a motion to adjourn. Ms. Beveridge seconded the motion.  
The motion passed 6-0-0.**

The meeting adjourned at 7:42 p.m.

Minutes recorded by Julie Beavers

## ***Appendices—Appendix D***

### **Appendix D: Public Hearing Notices and Public Comment**

**NOTICE OF PUBLIC HEARING  
11-O-09  
TUESDAY, JUNE 14, 2011  
2ND FLOOR COUNCIL CHAMBERS  
CITY HALL, 4500 KNOX ROAD  
7:30 P.M.**

An Ordinance Of the Mayor and Council Of the City of College Park, Maryland, To Adopt a Redistricting Plan ("Plan C") For Council Member Elections as Required by § C2-2 Of the College Park Charter. The New Plan Will Redefine Council District Boundaries For City Elections. A Map Showing the Proposed New Boundaries Is Available At [www.collegeparkmd.gov](http://www.collegeparkmd.gov), or at City Hall.

Copies of this Ordinance may be obtained from the City Clerk's Office, 4500 Knox Road, College Park, MD 20740, call 240-487-3501 or visit [www.collegeparkmd.gov](http://www.collegeparkmd.gov)

*Posted Calve, website  
and bulletin board  
5/27/11*



# CITY OF COLLEGE PARK MUNICIPAL SCENE

1500 KNOX ROAD, COLLEGE PARK, MARYLAND 20740 • 410.487.3500  
www.collegeparkmd.gov

May 26, 2011

## City Hall Bulletin Board

### MAYOR AND COUNCIL MEETINGS

JUNE 7, 2011

5:00 PM - 8:00 PM - 400 GEORGE WASHINGTON

JUNE 14, 2011

5:00 PM - 8:00 PM - 400 GEORGE WASHINGTON  
7:00 PM - 7:30 PM - 11400

JULY 5, 2011

5:00 PM - 8:00 PM - 400 GEORGE WASHINGTON

JULY 12, 2011

5:00 PM - 8:00 PM - 400 GEORGE WASHINGTON

All meeting agendas will appear in the City Hall Council Chambers of the Hall, 4000 Knox Road, College Park, Maryland 20740. All meeting agendas are posted on the City website at [www.collegeparkmd.gov](http://www.collegeparkmd.gov). In accordance with the Americans with Disabilities Act, if you need a special accommodation, please call the City Clerk's Office at 410.487.3500, and describe the assistance that is needed.

All Mayor and Council meetings will be covered live on the City Cable Channel 71 on Comcast Channel 29. Regular hours of the City and the City website are the best place to find information on the following events. Meetings are 6:00 pm. The dates and times are in pm, and located at 11400.

Next Citywide meeting agenda will be covered live on the City Cable Channel 71 on Comcast Channel 29. Regular hours of the City and the City website are the best place to find information on the following events. Meetings are 6:00 pm. The dates and times are in pm, and located at 11400.

Meeting agendas are posted on the City website at [www.collegeparkmd.gov](http://www.collegeparkmd.gov). In accordance with the Americans with Disabilities Act, if you need a special accommodation, please call the City Clerk's Office at 410.487.3500, and describe the assistance that is needed.

Meeting agendas are posted on the City website at [www.collegeparkmd.gov](http://www.collegeparkmd.gov). In accordance with the Americans with Disabilities Act, if you need a special accommodation, please call the City Clerk's Office at 410.487.3500, and describe the assistance that is needed.

Meeting agendas are posted on the City website at [www.collegeparkmd.gov](http://www.collegeparkmd.gov). In accordance with the Americans with Disabilities Act, if you need a special accommodation, please call the City Clerk's Office at 410.487.3500, and describe the assistance that is needed.

Meeting agendas are posted on the City website at [www.collegeparkmd.gov](http://www.collegeparkmd.gov). In accordance with the Americans with Disabilities Act, if you need a special accommodation, please call the City Clerk's Office at 410.487.3500, and describe the assistance that is needed.

Meeting agendas are posted on the City website at [www.collegeparkmd.gov](http://www.collegeparkmd.gov). In accordance with the Americans with Disabilities Act, if you need a special accommodation, please call the City Clerk's Office at 410.487.3500, and describe the assistance that is needed.

Meeting agendas are posted on the City website at [www.collegeparkmd.gov](http://www.collegeparkmd.gov). In accordance with the Americans with Disabilities Act, if you need a special accommodation, please call the City Clerk's Office at 410.487.3500, and describe the assistance that is needed.

Meeting agendas are posted on the City website at [www.collegeparkmd.gov](http://www.collegeparkmd.gov). In accordance with the Americans with Disabilities Act, if you need a special accommodation, please call the City Clerk's Office at 410.487.3500, and describe the assistance that is needed.

Meeting agendas are posted on the City website at [www.collegeparkmd.gov](http://www.collegeparkmd.gov). In accordance with the Americans with Disabilities Act, if you need a special accommodation, please call the City Clerk's Office at 410.487.3500, and describe the assistance that is needed.

Meeting agendas are posted on the City website at [www.collegeparkmd.gov](http://www.collegeparkmd.gov). In accordance with the Americans with Disabilities Act, if you need a special accommodation, please call the City Clerk's Office at 410.487.3500, and describe the assistance that is needed.

Meeting agendas are posted on the City website at [www.collegeparkmd.gov](http://www.collegeparkmd.gov). In accordance with the Americans with Disabilities Act, if you need a special accommodation, please call the City Clerk's Office at 410.487.3500, and describe the assistance that is needed.

Meeting agendas are posted on the City website at [www.collegeparkmd.gov](http://www.collegeparkmd.gov). In accordance with the Americans with Disabilities Act, if you need a special accommodation, please call the City Clerk's Office at 410.487.3500, and describe the assistance that is needed.

### NOTICE OF FAIR SUMMARY

In accordance with the provisions of the State and County of The City of College Park, Maryland, Amending Chapter 129, "Zoning", §§129.6, "Mobile Homes", and 129.7, "Trailer Units", and 129.8, "Use of the Application of the Ordinance to the Use of the Ordinance".

The Ordinance was introduced on May 20, 2011, adopted on May 20, 2011 and amended on May 20, 2011.

Copies of the Ordinance may be obtained from the City Clerk's Office at 1500 Knox Road, College Park, Maryland 20740 or [www.collegeparkmd.gov](http://www.collegeparkmd.gov).

### NOTICE OF FAIR SUMMARY

In accordance with the provisions of the State and County of The City of College Park, Maryland, Amending Chapter 129, "Zoning", §§129.6, "Mobile Homes", and 129.7, "Trailer Units", and 129.8, "Use of the Application of the Ordinance to the Use of the Ordinance".

The Ordinance was introduced on May 20, 2011, adopted on May 20, 2011 and amended on May 20, 2011.

Copies of the Ordinance may be obtained from the City Clerk's Office at 1500 Knox Road, College Park, MD 20740 or [www.collegeparkmd.gov](http://www.collegeparkmd.gov).

### NOTICE OF PUBLIC HEARING

11-48-09  
TUESDAY, JUNE 14, 2011  
5:00 PM - 8:00 PM  
CITY HALL, 4000 KNOX ROAD  
7:00 PM

An Ordinance of the Mayor and Council of the City of College Park, Maryland, to adopt a Resolution, Plan 17-07, for Council Member Division 10, proposed by Council Member, George W. ...

Copies of the Ordinance may be obtained from the City Clerk's Office at 1500 Knox Road, College Park, MD 20740 or [www.collegeparkmd.gov](http://www.collegeparkmd.gov).

### REFERENDUM PETITIONS

An Ordinance of the Mayor and Council of the City of College Park, Maryland, to adopt a Resolution, Plan 17-07, for Council Member Division 10, proposed by Council Member, George W. ...

Copies of the Ordinance may be obtained from the City Clerk's Office at 1500 Knox Road, College Park, MD 20740 or [www.collegeparkmd.gov](http://www.collegeparkmd.gov).

### Memorial Day

MEMORIAL DAY OBSERVANCE  
MONDAY, MAY 30, 2011  
11:00 A.M.  
VETERANS MEMORIAL  
US ROUTE 1 AND GREENBELT ROAD

Please observe the City of College Park's Memorial Day Observance on Monday, May 30, 2011, at 11:00 A.M. at the Veterans Memorial on US Route 1 and Greenbelt Road.

This year's program will include a song of tribute, Color Guard ceremonies, live music, and various Memorial Day events.

### TRAFFIC ALERT MONDAY, MAY 30

The Memorial Day observance will take place on the Veterans Memorial on Monday, May 30, 2011. Please be aware of potential traffic changes for the traffic alert on the above date at US Route 1 and MD 444. Roadwork will begin at 7:00 a.m. on May 30.

### ATTENTION HOMEOWNERS! DON'T MISS THIS PRESENTATION OF A DRAFT PATTERN BOOK FOR COLLEGE PARK NEIGHBORHOOD'S

NEW DATE: Monday, June 20, 2011  
TIME: 7:00 PM

LOCATION: City Hall, Council Chambers, 4000 Knox Road

Join us for a presentation of the Draft Pattern Book for College Park Neighborhoods, a visually organized and concise design tool to provide the draft pattern book for improving homes and adding beauty. Learn about the design characteristics and additional details that will be included in the draft pattern book. The draft pattern book will be available for your review prior to the presentation. For more information, please call the City Clerk's Office at 410.487.3500.

### BE A FIRST OBSERVER...

If You See Something - Say Something  
Report Suspicious Activity Immediately  
Call 9-1-1 or non-emergency 301-562-2000

Please note the new non-emergency number for Prince George's County Police

From The Public Works Dept.  
2017 21st Avenue  
201-207-3300  
[www.collegeparkmd.gov](http://www.collegeparkmd.gov)

### OLD TOWN & CALVERT HILLS CURBSIDE DONATION EVENT

FRIDAY, MAY 27, 2011

The City of College Park is pleased to announce the Old Town & Calvert Hills Curbside Donation Event on Friday, May 27, 2011. The event will be held from 8:00 a.m. to 12:00 p.m. at the intersection of Old Town & Calvert Hills. The event will accept donations of household appliances, electronics, furniture, and other household items. The event will also accept donations of clothing, shoes, and other personal items. The event will be held on a first-come, first-served basis. For more information, please call the City Clerk's Office at 410.487.3500.

For more information, please call the City Clerk's Office at 410.487.3500.

For more information, please call the City Clerk's Office at 410.487.3500.

For more information, please call the City Clerk's Office at 410.487.3500.

For more information, please call the City Clerk's Office at 410.487.3500.

### PUBLIC WORKS CLOSED FOR MEMORIAL DAY

Public Works is closed Monday, May 30, in observance of Memorial Day. Street cleaning and public works activities will shift one day later during this period as follows:

Monday, May 30 - activities will occur on Tuesday, May 31

Tuesday, May 31 - activities will occur on Wednesday, June 1

Wednesday, June 1 - activities will occur on Thursday, June 2

Appliances for donation (large and small) will be available for Friday, June 3, at the Public Works Department. For more information, please call the City Clerk's Office at 410.487.3500.



**MINUTES**  
**Public Hearing of the College Park City Council**  
**Tuesday, June 14, 2011 - 7:30 p.m.**

**Ordinance 11-O-09**  
**An Ordinance Of the Mayor and Council Of the City of College Park, Maryland**  
**To Adopt a Redistricting Plan ("Plan C") for Council Member Elections as Required**  
**by § C2-2 Of the College Park Charter**

**PRESENT:** Mayor Fellows; Councilmembers Nagle, Wojahn, Stullich, Cook, Afzali and Mitchell.

**ABSENT:** Councilmembers Perry and Catlin

**ALSO PRESENT:** Joe Nagro, City Manager; Suellen Ferguson, City Attorney; Janeen Miller, City Clerk; Chantal Cotton, Assistant to the City Manager; Marcella Morris, Student Liaison.

Mayor Fellows called to order the Public Hearing on Ordinance 11-O-09 at 7:30 p.m. Ms. Cotton gave an overview of the proposed new districts.

For District 1, the southern border is the same, the western boundary shifts further west to include the IKEA area and Mazza Grandmarc west of Route 1. The neighborhoods within the new District 1 boundaries are: Camden, Wynfield Park, Sunnyside, Hollywood, Daniels Park (north), Mazza Grandmarc and the IKEA Annexation.

For District 2, the northern border is the same, and the southern border changed around Route 1 and Paint Branch Parkway so that it went to the natural border. There were some changes around the campus area. The neighborhoods and buildings within the new District 2 boundaries are: Daniels Park (south), Branchville, Berwyn, Lakeland, the University View area and the Varsity. The Campus Residence Halls included in District 2 are the North Hill Community, South Hill Community, and South Campus Commons Buildings 3 and 4.

For District 3: It's the same except the northern border goes up to the meeting point of Paint Branch Parkway and Route 1, and there are changes on campus. The neighborhoods and buildings within the new District 3 boundaries are: College Park Estates, Yarrow, Calvert Hills, Old Town, Lord Calvert Manor, Fraternity Row, and East Campus. The Campus Residence Halls included in District 3 are South Campus Commons Buildings 1, 2, 5, 6, and 7.

District 4: It's the same except it lost the IKEA Annexation area and the Mazza Grandmarc area. The boundary shifts east on campus to include the Ellicott, Denton and Cambridge communities. The boundary outside of campus shifts to exclude the View properties. The neighborhoods and buildings within the new District 4 boundaries are: College Park Woods, Crystal Springs,

Autoville (north and south), Patricia Court, Cherry Hill, University Courtyards and the Campus Residence Halls of Cambridge Community, Denton Community and Ellicott Community.

**Comments from the audience:**

**Mark Shroder, 4909 Muskogee:** This map represents the minimum change that could be made to the current system, and from the point of view of a person running for election, that is an advantage. We have now been working under a particular court-ordered settlement for two decades which does a profound injustice to north College Park. In 2009, there were 605 voters in District 1, and 186 voters in District 2. He estimates that in 2007, there were 598 votes in District 1, and 161 votes in District 2. In 2005 there were 344 votes in District 1 and 129 votes in District 2. In 2003 there were 332 votes in District 1 and 85 votes in District 2. District 3 has gone up and down, with as little as 202 votes and as many as 445. District 4 votes go up and down, with as little as 90 as many as 317. Systematically, District 1 has far more than 25% of the voters, but only 25% of the votes. The reason is the settlement that the City agreed to in which district lines have to be drawn so that the sum of population plus actual voters in the most recent gubernatorial or presidential election is equalized. That formula gives vastly too much weight to on-campus students, who have no reason to vote, do not pay taxes and do not receive services. The people who do vote are seriously underrepresented. He hopes the Council will go back in to court after the next election and ask to get a new formula for settlement of the underlying dispute.

**Jack Robson, 4710 Harvard Road:** He believes that when the City lost the law suit that easily allowed students to vote in the City, there were eight districts. Later on the Council decided to make it four districts. The disproportion of which Mr. Shroder speaks probably could be resolved by going back to eight districts.

There being no further testimony, Mayor Fellows adjourned the Public Hearing on Ordinance 11-O-09 at 7:45 p.m.

*Janeen S. Miller* 7/12/11  
Janeen S. Miller, CMC      Date Approved  
City Clerk